Page 1 of 81

## Appendix A

## Technical Procedures for the NAEP 2013 Reading Assessment

This appendix provides an overview of some of the technical procedures for the NAEP 2013 reading assessment. Information is included about the content of the assessment, school and student samples and participation, inclusion of students with disabilities and/or English language learners, analysis procedures, and interpretation of results. Additional technical information about NAEP assessments is available on the Web at http://www.nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/tdw/.

## Development of the Reading Framework

The National Assessment Governing Board oversees the creation of the NAEP frameworks that provide the theoretical basis for the assessment, the direction for what types of passages and questions should be included, as well as how the questions should be scored. Frameworks incorporate ideas and rely on the expertise of many individuals involved in reading and reading education, including researchers, policymakers, teachers, teacher educators, parents, and other members of the public. While the frameworks describe the general content and design of NAEP subject area assessments, the specifications provide the detailed information used by test developers for constructing the assessments. Both the Reading Framework for the 2013 National Assessment of Educational Progress and Assessment and Item Specifications for the NAEP 2013 Reading Assessment are available on the Governing Board's website at http://www.nagb.org/publications/frameworks.htm.

The frameworks for main NAEP assessments are periodically updated or changed to reflect current curricula and standards. Whenever changes are made to a subject framework, every effort is made to try to maintain the trend lines that permit the reporting of changes in student achievement over time. If, however, the nature of the changes made to an assessment are such that the results would not be comparable to earlier assessments, a new trend line is started.

The Reading Framework for the 2009 National Assessment of Education Progress replaced the framework first used for the 1992 reading assessment and subsequent reading assessments through 2007. Results from special analyses determined that even with a new framework, the 2009 reading assessment results could be compared to those from previous assessment years. A summary of these special analyses is available on the Web at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/reading/trend_study.asp. The 2013 NAEP reading assessment used the same framework used in 2009 and 2011. Trends are reported from 1992 to 2013.

Framework development was guided by scientifically based reading research that defines reading as a dynamic cognitive process that involves:
¿ Understanding written text
¿ Developing and interpreting meaning
¿ Using meaning as appropriate to the type of text, purpose, and situation
The framework prescribes the use of both literary and informational texts. Literary texts include three types at each grade: fiction, literary nonfiction, and poetry. The types of informational texts vary by grade level. At grade 4, all informational texts are exposition. At grades 8 and 12, informational texts include two types: exposition and argumentation/persuasive. In addition, the reading assessment includes procedural texts and documents such as tables, charts, maps, schedules, and manuals. Procedural text or document elements will be embedded in other texts at grades 4 and 8, but appear as stand-alone stimuli at grade 12. The inclusion of distinct text types is aligned with the framework definition of reading, which recognizes that interaction with different texts elicit different ways of thinking and responding.

All reading questions are aligned to cognitive reading behaviors applicable to both literary and informational texts. The framework specifies three reading behaviors, or cognitive targets: locate/recall, integrate/interpret, and critique/evaluate. The term cognitive target refers to the mental processes or kinds of thinking that underlie reading comprehension.

In addition, the framework calls for a systematic assessment of meaning vocabulary. Vocabulary items function as both a measure of passage comprehension and of readers' knowledge of specific word meaning as used in the passage.

The assessment framework specifies not only the particular dimensions of reading literacy to be measured, but also the percentage of assessment questions that should be devoted to each. The target percentage distribution for types of reading text and reading cognitive targets as specified in the framework, along with the actual percentage distribution in the 2013 assessment, are presented in tables A-1 and A-2.

Table A-1. Target and actual percentage distribution of types of text in NAEP reading, by grade: 2013

|  | Types of text |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Grade |  | Literary text |
| Grade 4 | 50 |  |
| Target | 52 |  |
| Actual |  |  |
| Grade 8 | 45 | 50 |
| Target | 46 | 48 |
| Actual |  |  |
| Grade 12 |  |  |
| Target | TBA |  |
| Actual | TBA |  |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-2. Target and actual percentage distribution of cognitive targets in NAEP reading, by grade: 2013

| Grade | Cognitive targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Locate and recall | Integrate and interpret | Critique and evaluate |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |
| Target | 30 | 50 | 20 |
| Actual | 22 | 60 | 17 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |
| Target | 20 | 50 | 30 |
| Actual | 17 | 60 | 24 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |
| Target | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Actual | TBA | TBA | TBA |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

## Content of the 2013 Reading Assessment

Each NAEP assessment contains two major components: subject-specific cognitive questions that measure the achievement of students in an academic subject; and noncognitive questions that collect information from students, teachers, and school administrators about background variables that are related to student achievement. Both the cognitive and noncognitive questions are developed through a process that includes reviews by external advisory groups and field testing. Results from the cognitive questions provide information about what students know and can do in a subject area. Information from the background questions provide context for NAEP results and/or allows researchers to track factors associated with academic achievement.

The 2013 reading assessment was made up of 99 cognitive questions at 4 th grade, 132 questions at 8th grade, and 131 questions at grade 12. The number of questions used for reporting results at each grade has remained relatively constant across assessment years. Students spend about one-half of the assessment time responding to multiple-choice questions and one-half responding to two types of constructed-response questions. Short constructed-response questions require students to provide answers in one or two sentences, while extended constructed-response questions require more detailed responses or explanations.

Cognitive Blocks: The assessment design allowed for broad coverage of the literary and informational texts and the three cognitive targets at each grade, while minimizing the time burden for any one student. This was accomplished through the use of matrix sampling so each student was required to take only a small portion of the entire pool of assessment questions.

The reading passages and questions for each grade were divided up into subsets or "blocks." In 2013, there were a total of 10 cognitive blocks at fourth grade, 13 blocks at eighth grade, and 13 blocks at twelfth grade. Each reading assessment booklet contained two separately timed 25-minute blocks. Each block typically contained 10 questions.

The procedure used for distributing blocks across booklets controlled for position and context effects by balancing the positioning of blocks across booklets and balancing the pairing of blocks within booklets. The procedure also cycled the booklets for administration so that no more than a few students in an assessment section received the same test booklet.

Sample released questions can be viewed at the NAEP website at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/itmrls/.

## NAEP Samples

NAEP assesses representative samples of students rather than the entire population of students. The sample selection process utilizes a probability sample design in which each school and each student has a known probability of being selected (the probabilities are proportionate to the estimated number of students in the grade assessed). Samples are selected according to a multistage design, with students drawn from within sampled public and private schools nationwide.

The 2009-10 Common Core of Data (CCD) file, a comprehensive list of operating public schools in each jurisdiction that is compiled each school year by the National Center for Education Statistics, served as the sampling frame for the selection of public schools in each state/jurisdiction. The sample of students in districts participating in the Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) represents an augmentation of the sample of students selected as part of the state samples. All students at more local geographic sampling levels also make up part of the broader samples. For example, the TUDA samples are included as part of the corresponding state samples, and the state samples are included as part of the national sample.

The 2009-10 Private School Survey (PSS), a mail survey of all U.S. private schools carried out biennially by the Census Bureau under contract to NCES, served as the sampling frame for private schools. While state and district results are based on samples of public schools only, the national results are based on the combined samples of public and private schools. Although information about the combined public and private school national samples is provided here for context, performance results in the State Report Generator and the District Report Generator are for public school students only.

Table A-3 shows the target populations and sample sizes in 2013 for the nation and participating states and jurisdictions at grades 4,8 , and 12. Table A-4 shows the same information for participating urban districts for grades 4, 8, and 12.

Because each school that participated in the assessment, and each student assessed, represents only a portion of the larger population of interest, the results are weighted to make appropriate inferences between the student samples and the respective populations from which they are drawn. Sampling weights are adjusted for the disproportionate representation of some groups in the selected sample. This includes oversampling of schools with high concentrations of students from certain racial/ethnic groups and the lower sampling rates of students who attend very small schools.

Table A-3. Student sample sizes and target populations in NAEP reading at grades 4, 8, and 12, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | Grade 4 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample size | Target population | Sample size | Target population | Sample size | Target population |
| Nation | 196,000 | 3,896,000 | 176,300 | 3,887,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Public | 189,400 | 3,578,000 | 170,600 | 3,556,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Private | 3,200 | 308,000 | 3,300 | 323,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Alabama | 3,000 | 53,000 | 2,700 | 53,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Alaska | 2,900 | 9,000 | 2,600 | 9,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Arizona | 3,200 | 80,000 | 2,800 | 80,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | 3,200 | 34,000 | 2,800 | 35,000 | TBA | TBA |
| California | 8,400 | 447,000 | 7,700 | 476,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Colorado | 3,200 | 60,000 | 2,800 | 57,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | 3,100 | 41,000 | 2,800 | 41,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Delaware | 3,300 | 10,000 | 2,900 | 10,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | 6,400 | 193,000 | 5,700 | 185,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Georgia | 4,900 | 122,000 | 4,200 | 121,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Hawaii | 3,200 | 14,000 | 2,800 | 12,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | 3,200 | 21,000 | 2,800 | 20,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | 4,800 | 150,000 | 4,500 | 146,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Indiana | 3,100 | 76,000 | 2,700 | 76,000 | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | 2,900 | 33,000 | 2,800 | 33,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Kansas | 3,200 | 35,000 | 3,000 | 35,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Kentucky | 4,400 | 49,000 | 3,900 | 48,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Louisiana | 3,000 | 54,000 | 2,800 | 49,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Maine | 3,200 | 13,000 | 2,600 | 13,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Maryland | 4,500 | 63,000 | 4,000 | 60,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | 4,900 | 68,000 | 4,400 | 71,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | 4,200 | 106,000 | 3,800 | 112,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Minnesota | 3,300 | 62,000 | 2,600 | 58,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Mississippi | 3,100 | 35,000 | 2,900 | 34,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Missouri | 3,200 | 67,000 | 2,700 | 65,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Montana | 3,200 | 11,000 | 2,800 | 11,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Nebraska | 3,300 | 22,000 | 2,800 | 21,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Nevada | 3,200 | 32,000 | 3,000 | 33,000 | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | 3,200 | 14,000 | 2,900 | 15,000 | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | 3,200 | 98,000 | 2,900 | 102,000 | TBA | TBA |
| New Mexico | 3,800 | 25,000 | 3,500 | 24,000 | TBA | TBA |
| New York | 4,200 | 186,000 | 3,900 | 199,000 | TBA | TBA |
| North Carolina | 4,500 | 115,000 | 4,000 | 111,000 | TBA | TBA |
| North Dakota | 3,500 | 7,000 | 3,400 | 7,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Ohio | 4,300 | 125,000 | 4,000 | 130,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Oklahoma | 3,300 | 50,000 | 2,800 | 45,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Oregon | 3,300 | 42,000 | 2,800 | 40,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Pennsylvania | 4,200 | 121,000 | 3,800 | 122,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Rhode Island | 3,200 | 11,000 | 3,000 | 10,000 | TBA | TBA |
| South Carolina | 3,000 | 50,000 | 2,800 | 50,000 | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | 3,200 | 10,000 | 3,000 | 9,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | 3,200 | 71,000 | 2,800 | 70,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Texas | 8,700 | 376,000 | 7,800 | 353,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Utah | 3,300 | 46,000 | 3,100 | 44,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Vermont | 2,900 | 6,000 | 2,800 | 6,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Virginia | 3,100 | 93,000 | 2,900 | 89,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Washington | 3,400 | 81,000 | 2,800 | 76,000 | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | 2,900 | 19,000 | 2,800 | 20,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Wisconsin | 4,200 | 60,000 | 4,000 | 60,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Wyoming | 3,300 | 7,000 | 3,000 | 7,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIE1 | - | - | - | - | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia | 2,200 | 5,000 | 1,800 | 4,000 | TBA | TBA |
| DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | 3,200 | 7,000 | 2,300 | 5,000 | TBA | TBA |

- Not available.

1 Bureau of Indian Education.
${ }^{2}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: The sample size is rounded to the nearest hundred. The target population is rounded to the nearest thousand. Data for BIE and DoDEA schools are counted in the overall national totals, but not in the public school totals. Data for the District of Columbia public schools are counted, along with the states, in the national public school totals. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-4. Student sample sizes and target populations for Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) in reading at grades 4 and 8, by urban district: 2013

| Urban district | Grade 4 |  | Grade 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample size | Target population | Sample size | Target population |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

NOTE: The sample size is rounded to the nearest hundred. The target population is rounded to the nearest thousand. DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

## School and Student Participation

## National Participation

To ensure unbiased samples, NAEP requires that participation rates be 70 percent or higher to report national results separately for public and private schools. In instances where participation rates meet the 70 percent criteria but fall below 85 percent, a nonresponse bias analysis is conducted; however, results may still be reported.

National school and student participation rates for the 2013 reading assessment are presented in table A-5. Student-weighted school participation rates were 97 percent for grade 4 ( 100 percent for public schools and 71 percent for private schools), 97 percent for grade 8 ( 99 percent for public schools and 70 percent for private schools), and 90 percent at grade 12 ( 93 percent for public schools and 53 percent for private schools).

## State and District Participation

Standards established by the Governing Board require that school participation rates for the original state and district samples need to be at least 85 percent for results to be reported. In 2013, all 52 states and jurisdictions participating in the reading assessment at grades 4 and 8 , and all 13 states participating at grade 12, met this participation rate requirement (tables A-6 through A-8). The 21 urban districts participating at grades 4 and 8 also met the criteria for reporting (table A-9).

Table A-5. National school and student participation rates in NAEP reading, by grade and type of school: 2013

| Grade and type of school | School participation |  |  |  |  | Student participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student-weighted |  | School-weighted |  | Number of schools participating after substitution | Studentweighted percent | Number of students assessed |
|  | Percent before substitution | Percent after substitution | Percent before substitution | Percent after substitution |  |  |  |
| Grade 4 Nation | 97 | 98 | 90 | 93 | 7,920 | 95 | 190,400 |
| Public | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 7,530 | 95 | 184,000 |
| Private | 71 | 84 | 65 | 76 | 280 | 96 | 3,200 |
| Grade 8 Nation | 97 | 98 | 85 | 90 | 6,510 | 93 | 171,800 |
| Public | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 6,190 | 93 | 166,300 |
| Private | 70 | 82 | 60 | 75 | 260 | 95 | 3,300 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Public | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Private | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

NOTE: The national totals for schools include Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools) and Bureau of Indian Education schools, which are not included in either the public or private school totals. The national totals for students include students in these schools. Columns of percentages have different denominators. The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-6. Public school and student participation rates in NAEP reading at grade 4, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | School participation |  |  | Student participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student-weighted percent | School-weighted percent | Number of schools participating | Student-weighted percent | Number of students assessed |
| Nation (public) | 100 | 100 | 7,530 | 95 | 184,000 |
| Alabama | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 3,000 |
| Alaska | 99 | 97 | 170 | 94 | 2,800 |
| Arizona | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,200 |
| Arkansas | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,200 |
| California | 99 | 99 | 290 | 95 | 8,200 |
| Colorado | 100 | 100 | 120 | 94 | 3,100 |
| Connecticut | 97 | 97 | 110 | 94 | 3,000 |
| Delaware | 100 | 100 | 90 | 94 | 3,100 |
| Florida | 100 | 100 | 220 | 94 | 6,200 |
| Georgia | 100 | 100 | 150 | 95 | 4,700 |
| Hawaii | 100 | 100 | 120 | 94 | 3,100 |
| Idaho | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,200 |
| Illinois | 98 | 98 | 180 | 95 | 4,800 |
| Indiana | 100 | 100 | 110 | 94 | 3,000 |
| lowa | 100 | 100 | 130 | 95 | 2,900 |
| Kansas | 100 | 100 | 140 | 95 | 3,200 |
| Kentucky | 100 | 100 | 150 | 95 | 4,200 |
| Louisiana | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 3,000 |
| Maine | 100 | 100 | 150 | 94 | 3,100 |
| Maryland | 100 | 100 | 170 | 94 | 3,800 |
| Massachusetts | 100 | 100 | 170 | 94 | 4,700 |
| Michigan | 100 | 100 | 150 | 95 | 4,000 |
| Minnesota | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,200 |
| Mississippi | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Missouri | 100 | 100 | 130 | 95 | 3,200 |
| Montana | 100 | 98 | 180 | 94 | 3,100 |
| Nebraska | 100 | 100 | 160 | 96 | 3,200 |
| Nevada | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,200 |
| New Hampshire | 100 | 100 | 130 | 93 | 3,100 |
| New Jersey | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,100 |
| New Mexico | 100 | 99 | 150 | 95 | 3,800 |
| New York | 99 | 97 | 150 | 93 | 4,200 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 100 | 160 | 95 | 4,500 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 99 | 250 | 96 | 3,400 |
| Ohio | 100 | 100 | 180 | 95 | 4,200 |
| Oklahoma | 100 | 100 | 140 | 95 | 3,200 |
| Oregon | 100 | 100 | 130 | 94 | 3,200 |
| Pennsylvania | 100 | 100 | 160 | 94 | 4,100 |
| Rhode Island | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,200 |
| South Carolina | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 2,900 |
| South Dakota | 100 | 100 | 180 | 96 | 3,200 |
| Tennessee | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Texas | 100 | 100 | 290 | 96 | 8,000 |
| Utah | 99 | 99 | 110 | 94 | 3,200 |
| Vermont | 100 | 100 | 220 | 95 | 2,800 |
| Virginia | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Washington | 99 | 99 | 120 | 94 | 3,300 |
| West Virginia | 100 | 100 | 140 | 94 | 2,900 |
| Wisconsin | 100 | 100 | 180 | 95 | 4,100 |
| Wyoming | 100 | 100 | 170 | 94 | 3,200 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 100 | 100 | 120 | 94 | 2,100 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 99 | 98 | 100 | 95 | 3,000 |

1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred. The school participation rates are student-weighted percentages before substitution. Columns of percentages have different denominators. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-7. Public school and student participation rates in NAEP reading at grade 8, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | School participation |  |  | Student participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student-weighted percent | School-weighted percent | Number of schools participating | Student-weighted percent | Number of students assessed |
| Nation (public) | 99 | 100 | 6,190 | 93 | 166,300 |
| Alabama | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 2,700 |
| Alaska | 100 | 99 | 110 | 92 | 2,600 |
| Arizona | 99 | 99 | 110 | 94 | 2,800 |
| Arkansas | 100 | 100 | 110 | 93 | 2,700 |
| California | 100 | 100 | 230 | 93 | 7,400 |
| Colorado | 100 | 100 | 110 | 93 | 2,800 |
| Connecticut | 98 | 98 | 110 | 91 | 2,700 |
| Delaware | 100 | 100 | 50 | 92 | 2,800 |
| Florida | 100 | 100 | 200 | 92 | 5,600 |
| Georgia | 100 | 100 | 120 | 94 | 4,100 |
| Hawaii | 100 | 100 | 60 | 91 | 2,800 |
| Idaho | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 2,800 |
| Illinois | 100 | 100 | 180 | 94 | 4,400 |
| Indiana | 97 | 97 | 100 | 93 | 2,700 |
| lowa | 100 | 100 | 110 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Kansas | 100 | 100 | 120 | 93 | 2,900 |
| Kentucky | 99 | 99 | 130 | 94 | 3,700 |
| Louisiana | 100 | 100 | 120 | 94 | 2,700 |
| Maine | 100 | 100 | 110 | 92 | 2,600 |
| Maryland | 100 | 100 | 160 | 94 | 3,500 |
| Massachusetts | 100 | 100 | 140 | 92 | 4,300 |
| Michigan | 100 | 100 | 150 | 94 | 3,600 |
| Minnesota | 99 | 100 | 110 | 91 | 2,600 |
| Mississippi | 100 | 100 | 110 | 94 | 2,800 |
| Missouri | 100 | 100 | 120 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Montana | 100 | 99 | 140 | 92 | 2,700 |
| Nebraska | 100 | 100 | 120 | 92 | 2,700 |
| Nevada | 100 | 100 | 90 | 92 | 2,900 |
| New Hampshire | 100 | 100 | 90 | 91 | 2,800 |
| New Jersey | 100 | 100 | 110 | 92 | 2,800 |
| New Mexico | 100 | 99 | 120 | 93 | 3,400 |
| New York | 93 | 96 | 150 | 90 | 3,800 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 100 | 130 | 93 | 4,000 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 99 | 170 | 94 | 3,200 |
| Ohio | 100 | 100 | 170 | 93 | 3,900 |
| Oklahoma | 100 | 100 | 130 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Oregon | 100 | 100 | 110 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Pennsylvania | 100 | 100 | 150 | 92 | 3,700 |
| Rhode Island | 100 | 100 | 60 | 93 | 2,900 |
| South Carolina | 100 | 100 | 110 | 94 | 2,800 |
| South Dakota | 100 | 100 | 140 | 95 | 2,900 |
| Tennessee | 100 | 100 | 110 | 94 | 2,700 |
| Texas | 100 | 100 | 210 | 94 | 7,500 |
| Utah | 100 | 100 | 110 | 93 | 3,000 |
| Vermont | 100 | 100 | 120 | 93 | 2,800 |
| Virginia | 100 | 100 | 110 | 93 | 2,900 |
| Washington | 100 | 100 | 110 | 91 | 2,700 |
| West Virginia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 2,800 |
| Wisconsin | 100 | 100 | 150 | 94 | 3,900 |
| Wyoming | 100 | 100 | 80 | 93 | 3,000 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 100 | 100 | 70 | 91 | 1,800 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 99 | 97 | 60 | 94 | 2,200 |

1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred. The school participation rates are student-weighted percentages before substitution. Columns of percentages have different denominators. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-8. Public school and student participation rates in NAEP reading at grade 12, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | School participation |  |  | Student participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student-weighted percent | School-weighted percent | Number of schools participating | Student-weighted percent | Number of students assessed |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

NOTE: The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred. The school participation rates are student-weighted percentages before substitution. Columns of percentages have different denominators. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-9. Public school and student participation rates for Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) in reading, by grade and urban district: 2013

| Grade and urban district | School participation |  |  | Student participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student-weighted percent | School-weighted percent | Number of schools participating | Student-weighted percent | Number of students assessed |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

NOTE: The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred. The school participation rates are student-weighted percentages before substitution.DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

## Inclusion of Students With Disabilities and/or English Language Learners

It is important for NAEP to assess as many students selected to participate as possible. Assessing representative samples of students, including students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), helps to ensure that NAEP results accurately reflect the educational performance of all students in the target population and can continue to serve as a meaningful measure of U.S. students' academic achievement over time.

The National Assessment Governing Board, which sets policy for NAEP, has been exploring ways to ensure that NAEP continues to appropriately include as many students as possible and to do so in a consistent manner for all jurisdictions assessed and reported. In March 2010, the Governing Board adopted a new policy, NAEP Testing and Reporting on Students with Disabilities and English Language Learners. This policy was the culmination of work with experts in testing and curriculum, and those who work with exceptional children and students learning to speak English. The policy aims to
¿ maximize participation of sampled students in NAEP,
¿ reduce variation in exclusion rates for SD and ELL students across states and districts,
¿ develop uniform national rules for including students in NAEP, and
i ensure that NAEP is fully representative of SD and ELL students.

The policy defines specific inclusion goals for NAEP samples. At the national, state, and district levels, the goal is to include 95 percent of all students selected for the NAEP samples, and 85 percent of those in the NAEP sample who are identified as SD or ELL.

Students are selected to participate in NAEP based on a sampling procedure designed to yield a sample of students that is representative of students in all schools nationwide and in public schools within each state. First, schools are selected, and then students are sampled from within those schools without regard to disability or English language proficiency. Once students are selected, those previously identified as SD or ELL may be offered accommodations or excluded.

States and jurisdictions vary in their proportions of special-needs students and in their policies on inclusion and the use of accommodations. While identification rates of SD and ELL students have leveled off in recent years, NAEP inclusion rates have generally remained steady or increased since 2003. Only a small number of states included a smaller percentage of students in the 2013 NAEP reading assessments than in 2011. At grade 4, inclusion rates increased by more than 1 percentage point for 19 of 52 jurisdictions and decreased by more than 1 percentage point for only 3 states. At grade 8 , the inclusion rates increased by more than 1 percentage point for 20 jurisdictions, and no jurisdictions saw a decline of more than 1 percentage point. At grade 12, inclusion rates increased by more than 1 percentage point for 6 out of 11 jurisdictions from 2009 to 2013, and no jurisdictions had a decline in inclusion rate. This reflects efforts on the part of states and jurisdictions to include all students who can meaningfully participate in the NAEP assessments. The NAEP inclusion policy is an effort to ensure that this trend continues.

Determining whether each jurisdiction has met the NAEP inclusion goals involves looking at three different inclusion rates-an overall inclusion rate, an inclusion rate for SD students, and an inclusion rate for ELL students. Each inclusion rate is calculated as the percentage of sampled students who were included in the assessment (i.e., were not excluded).

Inclusion rate percentages are estimates because they are based on representative samples of students rather than on the entire population of students. As such, the inclusion rates are associated with a margin of error. The margin of error for each jurisdiction's inclusion rate was taken into account when comparing it to the corresponding inclusion goal. For example, if the point estimate of a state's overall inclusion rate was 93 percent and had a margin of error of plus or minus 3 percentage points, the state was considered to have met the 95 percent inclusion goal because the 95 percent goal falls within the margin of error, which ranges from 90 percent to 96 percent. Refer to the Technical Notes for more details about how the margin of error was used in these calculations.

## Confidence intervals for state inclusion rates

NAEP endeavors to include as many sampled students as possible in the assessment, including students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), and has established specific inclusion goals: 95 percent of all sampled students and 85 percent of sampled students identified as SD or ELL. Inclusion rates were computed for each state/jurisdiction participating in the 2013 assessment and compared to NAEP inclusion goals. Three inclusion percentages were computed for each state/jurisdiction. An overall inclusion percentage represents included students as a percentage of all students sampled within the state/jurisdiction. In addition, separate percentages were computed to report included students as a percentage of the state/jurisdiction sample that was identified as SD or ELL.

Inclusion percentages are estimates based on a sample, and each estimate has a measure of uncertainty or margin of error. Confidence intervals quantify this uncertainty due to sampling, resulting in interval estimates of the inclusion percentages. Therefore, confidence intervals for inclusion percentages were used to determine upper and lower confidence bounds around the inclusion point estimates.

When determining whether each state/jurisdiction met the NAEP inclusion goals, the confidence intervals were used, rather than just the point estimates. This means that if the inclusion goal of either 95 percent or 85 percent fell within the corresponding confidence interval, the state/jurisdiction was considered as having met the goal. States/jurisdictions for which the upper bound of the confidence interval was less than 95 percent (or 85 percent) did not meet the inclusion goal.

See the National Assessment Governing Board's policy on NAEP Testing and Reporting on Students with Disabilities and English Language Learners at http://www.nagb.org/content/nagb/assets/documents/policies/naep testandreport_studentswithdisabilities.pdf.

Fifty of the states/jurisdictions participating in the 2013 reading assessment met the 95 percent inclusion goal at grade 4 and 51 states/jurisdictions met the goal at grade 8 . All of the 13 states participating in the 2013 reading assessment met the 95 percent inclusion goal at grade 12 . See appendix table A-11 for the inclusion rates as a percentage of all students in each state/jurisdiction, and table A-12 for the rates as a percentage of the SD or ELL students.

Nineteen of the districts participating in the 2013 reading assessment met the 95 percent inclusion goal at grade 4 and 20 of the districts met the goal at grade 8 . See appendix table A-13 for the inclusion rates as a percentage of all students in each state/jurisdiction, and table A-14 for the rates as a percentage of the SD or ELL students.

Table A-10. Percentage of fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade public and nonpublic school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) assessed in NAEP reading with accommodations, by SD/ELL category and type of accommodation:
2013

| Type of accommodation | Grade 4 |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  | Grade 12 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD and/or ELL | SD | ELL | SD and/or ELL | SD | ELL | SD and/or ELL | SD | ELL |
| Braille presentation | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Braille response | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Breaks | 3.9 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.4 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cue to stay on task | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Directions read aloud in English | 5.1 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.8 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Directions read aloud in Spanish | 0.1 | \# | 0.1 | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Extended time | 10.4 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 2.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large-print booklet | 0.1 | 0.1 | \# | 0.1 | 0.1 | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Magnification device | \# |  | \# | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| One-on-one | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.3 | \# | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| School staff administers | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Scribe | 0.5 |  | \# | 0.2 | 0.2 | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Sign language response |  |  | \# | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Small group |  | 7.2 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 1.3 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Special equipment | 0.4 | 0.4 | \# | 0.3 | 0.3 | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |

\# Rounds to
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-11. Inclusion rate and confidence interval in NAEP reading for fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade public school students, as a percentage of all students, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | Grade 4 |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  | Grade 12 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inclusion rate | 95\% confidence interval |  | Inclusion rate | 95\% confidence interval |  | Inclusion rate | 95\% confidence interval |  |
|  |  | Lower | Upper |  | Lower | Upper |  | Lower | Upper |
| Nation (public) | $97{ }^{1}$ | 97.2 | 97.5 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.5 | 97.9 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Alabama | $99^{1}$ | 98.3 | 99.3 | $99^{1}$ | 98.2 | 99.3 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Alaska | $99^{1}$ | 97.9 | 99.0 | $99^{1}$ | 98.1 | 99.0 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arizona | $99{ }^{1}$ | 98.3 | 99.3 | $99{ }^{1}$ | 98.0 | 98.9 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | $99^{1}$ | 98.4 | 99.2 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.3 | 98.6 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| California | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.7 | 98.1 | 971 | 96.7 | 98.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Colorado | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.9 | 98.9 | $99^{1}$ | 98.4 | 99.2 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.8 | 98.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.2 | 98.4 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Delaware | $95^{1}$ | 94.3 | 96.1 | $97{ }^{1}$ | 95.8 | 97.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.1 | 97.8 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.4 | 98.7 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Georgia | $95^{1}$ | 93.7 | 96.2 | $96{ }^{1}$ | 95.2 | 97.0 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hawaii | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.6 | 98.6 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.4 | 98.5 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | $99{ }^{1}$ | 98.0 | 98.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.8 | 98.8 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | 991 | 98.3 | 99.1 | $99^{1}$ | 98.1 | 98.9 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Indiana | $98{ }^{1}$ | 96.4 | 98.3 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.4 | 98.6 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | $99^{1}$ | 98.4 | 99.2 | $99^{1}$ | 98.1 | 99.2 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Kansas | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.5 | 98.7 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.7 | 98.7 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Kentucky | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.4 | 97.5 | $97{ }^{1}$ | 95.9 | 97.4 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Louisiana | 991 | 98.4 | 99.2 | $99{ }^{1}$ | 98.3 | 99.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Maine | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.7 | 98.7 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.9 | 98.9 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Maryland | 87 | 85.9 | 88.3 | 91 | 89.4 | 91.7 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.7 | 97.8 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.1 | 98.4 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | $96{ }^{1}$ | 95.0 | 97.1 | $96{ }^{1}$ | 95.1 | 97.5 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Minnesota | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.5 | 97.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.0 | 98.2 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Mississippi | $99{ }^{1}$ | 99.0 | 99.7 | $99{ }^{1}$ | 98.9 | 99.5 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Missouri | $99^{1}$ | 98.2 | 99.2 | $99^{1}$ | 98.5 | 99.3 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Montana | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.5 | 97.6 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.0 | 98.3 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Nebraska | $96{ }^{1}$ | 95.4 | 97.2 | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.2 | 97.7 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Nevada | $98{ }^{1}$ | 98.0 | 98.9 | $99{ }^{1}$ | 98.6 | 99.3 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.7 | 98.0 | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.5 | 97.6 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.4 | 98.9 | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.4 | 98.1 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Mexico | $99^{1}$ | 98.6 | 99.3 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.8 | 98.7 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York | $99^{1}$ | 97.9 | 99.1 | $99^{1}$ | 98.6 | 99.4 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| North Carolina | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.4 | 98.7 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.6 | 98.8 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| North Dakota | $96{ }^{1}$ | 95.3 | 96.5 | $96{ }^{1}$ | 94.9 | 96.4 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Ohio | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.3 | 98.2 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 96.8 | 98.4 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Oklahoma | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.5 | 98.8 | $99{ }^{1}$ | 98.0 | 99.0 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Oregon | $98{ }^{1}$ | 96.8 | 98.1 | $99^{1}$ | 98.0 | 99.0 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Pennsylvania | $98{ }^{1}$ | 96.9 | 98.3 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.6 | 98.7 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Rhode Island | $99^{1}$ | 98.2 | 99.0 | $99^{1}$ | 98.2 | 99.0 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Carolina | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.3 | 98.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.5 | 98.6 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.1 | 98.3 | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.1 | 97.7 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.0 | 97.6 | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.0 | 97.5 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Texas | $95^{1}$ | 94.0 | 96.0 | $96{ }^{1}$ | 95.6 | 97.2 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Utah | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.1 | 97.6 | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.0 | 97.7 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Vermont | $99^{1}$ | 98.3 | 99.2 | $99^{1}$ | 98.6 | 99.4 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Virginia | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.9 | 98.9 | $99^{1}$ | 98.1 | 99.0 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Washington | $97{ }^{1}$ | 96.2 | 97.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 96.8 | 98.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.6 | 98.7 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.6 | 98.6 | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Wisconsin | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.8 | 98.8 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.8 | 98.8 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Wyoming | $99^{1}$ | 98.3 | 99.1 | $99^{1}$ | 98.5 | 99.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Other jurisdictions District of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.6 | 98.9 | $98{ }^{1}$ | 97.6 | 98.6 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | 94 | 93.2 | 94.8 | $96{ }^{1}$ | 95.3 | 96.9 | TBA | TBA | TBA |

— Not available.
${ }^{1}$ The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 95 percent.
2 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of
Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-12. Inclusion rate and standard error (SE) in NAEP reading for fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD and ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 12 |  |  |  |
|  | SD | ELL | SD | ELL | SD |  | ELL |  |
|  | Inclusion rate SE | Inclusion rate SE | Inclusion rate SE | Inclusion rate SE | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE |
| Nation (public) | 830.5 | $92{ }^{1} 0.4$ | $84^{1} 0.6$ | $90^{1} 0.7$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Alabama | $90^{1} 2.0$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | $90^{1} 2.4$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Alaska | $92^{1} 1.4$ | $97{ }^{1} 1.1$ | $93^{1} 1.3$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.2$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arizona | $92^{1} 1.6$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.8$ | $89^{1} 1.8$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | $92{ }^{1} 1.6$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.9$ | $83^{1} 2.8$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.7$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| California | $75 \quad 3.2$ | $95^{1} 0.8$ | $78 \quad 3.5$ | $91^{11} 1.5$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Colorado | $87^{11} 2.1$ | $97^{1} 0.9$ | $90^{11.8}$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.3$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | $91^{11} 1.8$ | $89^{1} 2.7$ | $86^{1} 1.9$ | $87^{1} 3.9$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Delaware | 712.5 | 674.9 | 792.2 | 666.8 | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | $85^{1} 2.5$ | $89{ }^{1} 1.9$ | $89^{1} 2.0$ | $86{ }^{1} 2.9$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Georgia | 653.8 | $77^{17} 7.1$ | 663.7 | $89^{1} 5.2$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hawaii | $86^{1} 2.2$ | $90^{11} 1.9$ | $89^{1} 1.8$ | $91^{11} 1.9$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | $87^{1} 2.0$ | $95{ }^{1} 1.6$ | $83{ }^{1} 2.6$ | $92{ }^{1} 2.7$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | $93^{1} 1.4$ | $95^{1} 1.1$ | $91^{11} 1.3$ | $92{ }^{1} 2.3$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Indiana | $88{ }^{1} 2.1$ | $89{ }^{1} 3.5$ | $87^{1} 2.0$ | $95^{1} 2.1$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | $93^{1} 1.4$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.3$ | $91^{1} 2.0$ | $92^{1} 3.5$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Kansas | $89^{1} 1.7$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.9$ | $88^{11} 1.9$ | $98^{1} 1.1$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Kentucky | 792.3 | $76 \quad 3.9$ | 713.3 | $83^{1} 5.2$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Louisiana | $92{ }^{1} 1.5$ | $94{ }^{1} 2.8$ | $89^{11} 1.9$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Maine | $91^{11} 1.4$ | $95^{1} 3.3$ | $92{ }^{1} 1.3$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Maryland | $26 \quad 2.3$ | 394.3 | 292.7 | 397.8 | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | $88^{1} 1.5$ | $92^{11} 1.4$ | $91^{11} 1.7$ | $82^{1} 3.8$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | 733.7 | $90^{1} 2.5$ | $76 \quad 3.3$ | $83^{1} 4.0$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Minnesota | $84{ }^{1} 2.2$ | $93{ }^{1} 1.7$ | $83{ }^{1} 2.2$ | $95^{1} 1.8$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Mississippi | $95^{1} 1.4$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | $93^{1} 1.4$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Missouri | $91^{11} 1.6$ | $98{ }^{1} 1.4$ | $93{ }^{1} 1.7$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Montana | 772.3 | $89^{1} 2.9$ | 792.6 | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Nebraska | 812.4 | $93{ }^{1} 1.8$ | 812.2 | $80^{1} 5.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Nevada | $89^{1} 1.6$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.6$ | $91^{11} 1.5$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.9$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | $83^{1} 2.1$ | $92{ }^{1} 3.9$ | $84^{1} 1.5$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | $90^{1} 2.2$ | $90^{1} 3.2$ | $86^{1} 2.5$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Mexico | $93^{11} 1.1$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.4$ | $91^{11} 1.2$ | $93^{1} 1.2$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York | $94^{1} 1.7$ | $93{ }^{1} 1.4$ | $97{ }^{1} 0.8$ | $91^{11} 1.9$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| North Carolina | $89^{1} 2.1$ | $93{ }^{1} 2.1$ | $89^{1} 1.7$ | $91^{1} 2.5$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| North Dakota | 712.2 | $76 \quad 5.1$ | 692.4 | $82{ }^{1} 4.2$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Ohio | $82{ }^{1} 2.9$ | $93{ }^{1} 2.7$ | $85^{1} 2.7$ | $91^{11} 3.6$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Oklahoma | $91^{11} 1.6$ | $95^{1} 1.5$ | $92^{1} 1.5$ | $96^{1} 2.1$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Oregon | $85^{1} 1.8$ | $93{ }^{1} 1.4$ | $90^{11} 1.7$ | $93{ }^{1} 2.8$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Pennsylvania | $87^{11} 1.9$ | $90^{1} 2.9$ | $91^{11} 1.4$ | $84^{1} 5.1$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Rhode Island | $95^{1} 1.1$ | $89^{1} 2.2$ | $95^{1} 1.0$ | $87^{1} 2.9$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Carolina | $89^{1} 2.4$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.3$ | $85^{1} 2.1$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.8$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | $87^{11} 1.7$ | $92{ }^{1} 2.8$ | 783.2 | $78{ }^{1} 4.4$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | $81{ }^{1} 2.4$ | $82^{1} 5.0$ | $73 \quad 3.2$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Texas | 693.3 | $89^{1} 1.4$ | 713.6 | $88^{1} 2.2$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Utah | $78 \quad 2.7$ | $85^{1} 2.7$ | 743.6 | $82{ }^{1} 3.6$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Vermont | $93^{1} 1.2$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.1$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Virginia | $91^{11} 1.9$ | $92{ }^{1} 2.0$ | $91^{11} 1.5$ | $93^{1} 2.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Washington | $82{ }^{1} 2.7$ | $92{ }^{1} 1.7$ | $82^{1} 3.1$ | $89^{1} 3.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | $91^{11} 1.3$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | $86^{1} 2.0$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Wisconsin | $90^{11} 1.5$ | $97{ }^{1} 0.8$ | $90^{11} 1.6$ | $95{ }^{1} 1.4$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Wyoming | $93^{1} 1.0$ | $90{ }^{1} 3.2$ | $92^{1} 1.1$ | $95^{1} 3.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | $92^{1} 1.7$ | $90^{1} 2.4$ | $94^{1} 1.3$ | $86{ }^{1} 3.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | 592.2 | $76 \quad 3.2$ | 633.4 | $76 \quad 4.9$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

- Not available.
$\dagger$ Not applicable. Standard error estimate cannot be accurately determined.
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
1 The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 85 percent.
${ }^{2}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: SD includes students identified as having an Individualized Education Program but excludes other students protected under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-13. Inclusion rate and confidence interval in NAEP reading for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students, as a percentage of all students, by urban district/jurisdiction: 2013

| Urban district/jurisdiction | Grade 4 |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inclusion rate | 95\% confidence interval |  | Inclusion rate | 95\% confidence interval |  |
|  |  | Lower | Upper |  | Lower | Upper |
| Nation (public) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |

1 Large city includes students from all cities in the nation with populations of 250,000 or more including the participating districts.
2 The urban district/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 95 percent.
NOTE: DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

## Accommodations

Prior to 1998, no testing accommodations were provided to students taking the NAEP reading assessment, resulting in the exclusion of students who could not be assessed without them. As the number of identified students with disabilities and English language learners increased over the years, the exclusion of those needing accommodations to participate in NAEP threatened the stability of trend lines (excluding more students in one assessment year than in another might lead to apparent rather than real differences), and threatened to compromise NAEP samples as optimally representative of target populations. Therefore, administration procedures allowing for many of the same testing accommodations provided on state and district assessments (e.g., extra testing time or individual rather than group administration) were introduced in 1998 for national and state NAEP reading assessments.

The percentages of SD/ELL students assessed with the available accommodations in 2013 are presented in table A-10. Students assessed with accommodations typically received some combination of accommodations. In contrast to assessment years prior to 2009 in which students were only counted once in the category reflecting the primary accommodation provided, students are counted in the categories for each accommodation they received in 2013. For example, students assessed in small groups (as compared with standard NAEP sessions of about 30 students) were also usually given extended time and are included in counts for both groups in table A-10.

Since providing accommodations represented a change in testing conditions that could potentially affect the measurement of changes over time, split samples of students were assessed nationally and at the state level in 1998 -one sample permitted accommodations, and the other did not. Although the results for both samples are presented in the tables, the comparisons to 1998 in the text are based on just the accommodated samples.

Table A-14. Inclusion rate and standard error (SE) in NAEP reading for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD and ELL students, by urban district/jurisdiction: 2013

| Urban district/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |
|  | SD |  | ELL |  | SD |  | ELL |  |
|  | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE |
| Nation (public) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |

$\dagger$ Not applicable. Standard error estimate cannot be accurately determined.
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
1 Large city includes students from all cities in the nation with populations of 250,000 or more including the participating districts.
2 The urban district/jurisdiction s inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 85 percent.
NOTE: SD includes students identified as having an Individualized Education Program but excludes other students protected under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

## Exclusion Rates

Even with the availability of accommodations, some students are excluded from the NAEP assessments by their schools. The decision to exclude any student is made by school staff, who using NAEP guidelines and each student's Individualized Education Program (IEP), decide whether the student can meaningfully be assessed.

In 2013, the method used by school staff to determine whether or not a student should be excluded from the NAEP assessment was revised. Previously, a student who required an accommodation specified in their IEP that was not allowed by NAEP was excluded. Beginning in 2013, SD students could be excluded only if they took an alternate assessment with alternate achievement standards, and ELL students could be excluded only if they had been enrolled in U.S. schools for less than one year. All other students were encouraged to take the assessment, even if their accommodation was not allowed by NAEP. Schools, students, or parents could, however, refuse to allow such a student to be assessed. For weighting and reporting purposes, these refusals were counted as exclusions.

Jurisdictions vary in their proportions of special-needs students. These variations, as well as differences in policies and practices regarding the identification and inclusion of special-needs students, lead to differences in exclusion and accommodation rates. These differences should be considered when comparing student performance over time and across jurisdictions. While the effect of exclusion is not precisely known, the validity of comparisons of performance results could be affected if exclusion rates are comparatively high or vary widely over time.

National Exclusion Rates (public and nonpublic school students): In the 1992 national sample, when accommodations were not permitted, between 7 and 10 percent of students across the three grades were identified as SD and/or ELL, 6 percent were excluded at grade 4,7 percent were excluded at grade 8, and 5 percent were excluded at grade 12 (table A-15). In 2013, between 14 and 22 percent of students were across the three grades were identified as SD and/or ELL, with 3 percent excluded at grade 4, 2 percent excluded at grade 8, and 2 percent at grade 12 (table A-16). The percentage of SD and/or ELL students assessed with accommodations in 2013 was 12 percent at grade 4, 11 percent at grade 8, and 9 percent at grade 12. (Note that the denominator for these percentages includes assessed students plus excluded students; it does not include sampled students who were absent or refused to participate. The proportions of SD and/or ELL students excluded and assessed with and without accommodations as a percentage of students identified are provided in table A-17.)

State Exclusion Rates (public school students only): Across the states/jurisdictions that participated in the 1992 reading assessment at grade 4, the percentage of students identified as SD and/or ELL ranged from 7 to 28 percent, and the percentage excluded ranged from 2 to 14 percent (table A-18). In 2013, the state percentages of fourth-graders identified as SD and/or ELL ranged from 12 to 33 percent, and exclusion rates ranged from 1 to 13 percent (table A-19).

Across the states/jurisdictions that participated in the 1998 reading assessment at grade 8, the percentage of students identified as SD and/or ELL ranged from 9 to 23 percent, and the percentage excluded ranged from 2 to 10 percent (table A-20). In 2013, the state percentages of eighth-graders identified as SD and/or ELL ranged from 9 to 24 percent, and exclusion rates ranged from 1 to 9 percent (table A-21).

Rates by state are reported separately for SD and ELL students at each grade in tables A-23 through A-32. Rates are also reported as the percentage of SD and/or ELL students identified in each state in tables A-33 through A-35.

| Grade and SD/ELL category | 1992 | 1994 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |
| Identified | 10 | 13 | 16 |
| Excluded | 6 | 5 | 9 |
| Assessed | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| SD |  |  |  |
| Identified | 7 | 10 | 11 |
| Excluded | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Assessed | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| ELL |  |  |  |
| Identified | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| Excluded | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Assessed | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |
| Identified | 10 | 13 | 12 |
| Excluded | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Assessed | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| SD |  |  |  |
| Identified | 8 | 11 | 10 |
| Excluded | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Assessed | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| ELL |  |  |  |
| Identified | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Excluded | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Assessed | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA | TBA |

\# Rounds to zero.
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1992, 1994, and 1998 Reading Assessments.

Table A-16. Percentage of fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade public and nonpublic school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted: Various years, 19982013

| Grade and SD/ELL category | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 16 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 22 |
| Excluded | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Assessed | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 19 |
| Without accommodations | 7 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 7 |
| With accommodations | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Excluded | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Assessed | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Without accommodations | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| With accommodations | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 6 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| Excluded | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Assessed | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| Without accommodations | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| With accommodations | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 12 | - | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 |
| Excluded | 4 | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Assessed | 9 | - | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| Without accommodations | 6 | - | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| With accommodations | 2 | - | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 10 | - | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Excluded | 3 | - | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Assessed | 7 | - | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| Without accommodations | 5 | - | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| With accommodations | 2 | - | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 3 | - | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Excluded | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Assessed | 2 | - | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Without accommodations | 2 | - | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| With accommodations | \# | - | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Without accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| With accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Without accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| With accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Without accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| With accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

- Not available.


## \# Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1998-2013 Reading Assessments.

Table A-17. Percentage of fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade public and nonpublic school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by grade and SD/ELL category: 2013

| Grade and SD/ELL category | Percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL | 12 | 88 | 32 | 56 |
| SD | 16 | 84 | 16 | 68 |
| ELL | 8 | 92 | 48 | 43 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL | 13 | 87 | 21 | 66 |
| SD | 15 | 85 | 11 | 74 |
| ELL | 10 | 90 | 43 | 46 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-18. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 1992, 1994, and 1998

| State/jurisdiction | 1992 |  |  | 1994 |  |  | 1998 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | 11 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 17 | 10 | 7 |
| Alabama | 10 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 5 |
| Arizona | 16 | 7 | 9 | 21 | 7 | 14 | 22 | 10 | 12 |
| Arkansas | 11 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| California | 28 | 14 | 13 | 31 | 12 | 18 | 31 | 15 | 15 |
| Colorado | 11 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 7 | 8 |
| Connecticut | 15 | 7 | 8 | 17 | 8 | 8 | 18 | 13 | 6 |
| Delaware | 12 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 9 |
| Florida | 17 | 9 | 8 | 22 | 10 | 11 | 18 | 9 | 9 |
| Georgia | 9 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| Hawaii | 13 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Idaho | 9 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Illinois | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 10 | 5 |
| Indiana | 8 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - |
| lowa | 9 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 8 | 7 |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 6 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 9 | 4 |
| Louisiana | 8 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 12 | 3 |
| Maine | 12 | 5 | 6 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 7 |
| Maryland | 14 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 3 |
| Massachusetts | 17 | 7 | 10 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 19 | 8 | 11 |
| Michigan | 7 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Minnesota | 10 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 11 |
| Mississippi | 7 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Missouri | 11 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Montana | - | - | - | 11 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 13 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 4 | 12 | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | 12 | 7 |
| New Hampshire | 12 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| New Jersey | 10 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 6 | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 13 | 8 | 6 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 28 | 11 | 16 |
| New York | 13 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 9 | 5 |
| North Carolina | 12 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| North Dakota | 10 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 8 | - | - | - |
| Ohio | 10 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oklahoma | 13 | 8 | 4 | - | - | - | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| Oregon | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | 7 | 12 |
| Pennsylvania | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Rhode Island | 16 | 7 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 7 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 11 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 11 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 11 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Texas | 17 | 8 | 9 | 24 | 11 | 13 | 26 | 14 | 13 |
| Utah | 10 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Virginia | 12 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 8 | 7 |
| Washington | - | - | - | 15 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| West Virginia | 8 | 5 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 11 | 7 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| Wyoming | 11 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 9 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 12 | 10 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 16 | 11 | 6 |
| DoDEA1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 4 | 3 |

- Not available.

1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Alaska, South Dakota, and Vermont did not participate in NAEP reading assessments from 1992 to 1998. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1992, 1994, and 1998 Reading Assessments.

Table A-19. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013

| State/jurisdiction | 1998 |  |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with accommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withaccommodations accommodations |  |
| Nation (public) | 18 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 21 | 7 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
| Alabama | 13 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 2 |
| Alaska | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arizona | 22 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 28 | 8 | 21 | 18 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 11 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 2 |
| California | 31 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 1 | 34 | 5 | 29 | 28 | 1 |
| Colorado | 15 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 18 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Delaware | 16 | 1 | 15 | 11 | 4 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Florida | 18 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 25 | 7 | 18 | 10 | 8 |
| Georgia | 11 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 15 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 4 | 13 | 11 | 2 |
| Illinois | 14 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 20 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Indiana | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| lowa | 15 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Kansas | 12 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 19 | 5 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 13 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Louisiana | 15 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Maine | 15 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Maryland | 13 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Massachusetts | 19 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Michigan | 10 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 15 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 19 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 4 |
| Mississippi | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | \# | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Missouri | 14 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 16 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| Montana | 10 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Nebraska | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| Nevada | 20 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 27 | 10 | 17 | 14 | 3 |
| New Hampshire | 14 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 28 | 9 | 18 | 16 | 2 | 37 | 10 | 27 | 23 | 4 |
| New York | 14 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| North Carolina | 15 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| North Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 3 |
| Ohio | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Oklahoma | 15 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 21 | 5 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| Oregon | 20 | 6 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 25 | 8 | 17 | 13 | 4 |
| Pennsylvania | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 5 |
| Rhode Island | 20 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 25 | 6 | 19 | 8 | 11 |
| South Carolina | 16 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 3 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tennessee | 13 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
| Texas | 26 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 27 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 2 |
| Utah | 14 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 4 |
| Vermont | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Virginia | 15 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 18 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| Washington | 15 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| West Virginia | 12 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Wisconsin | 16 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 19 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Wyoming | 14 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 17 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 7 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 16 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 19 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 8 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 12 | 8 | 4 |

[^0]Table A-19. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013—Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2003 |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed without Assessed with <br> Identified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with Identified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 22 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 5 | 23 | 7 | 16 | 10 | 7 |
| Alabama | 12 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 3 |
| Alaska | 29 | 3 | 27 | 20 | 7 | 32 | 3 | 28 | 17 | 12 |
| Arizona | 28 | 7 | 21 | 18 | 2 | 29 | 6 | 23 | 16 | 7 |
| Arkansas | 16 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 3 |
| California | 38 | 5 | 32 | 30 | 2 | 39 | 5 | 34 | 31 | 3 |
| Colorado | 18 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 22 | 4 | 18 | 5 | 13 |
| Connecticut | 15 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 17 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Delaware | 18 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 20 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Florida | 25 | 5 | 20 | 9 | 11 | 25 | 6 | 18 | 5 | 14 |
| Georgia | 16 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| Hawaii | 17 | 4 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 8 |
| Idaho | 18 | 4 | 14 | 12 | 3 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 11 | 3 |
| Illinois | 22 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 22 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Indiana | 15 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 19 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| lowa | 17 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Kansas | 15 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 15 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| Louisiana | 21 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 24 | 14 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Maine | 19 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Maryland | 16 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Massachusetts | 22 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 25 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 11 |
| Michigan | 15 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 5 |
| Minnesota | 19 | 3 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 3 | 17 | 9 | 8 |
| Mississippi | 10 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| Missouri | 18 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Montana | 16 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 20 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 23 | 5 | 17 | 9 | 8 |
| Nevada | 26 | 8 | 17 | 13 | 5 | 25 | 7 | 18 | 13 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 19 | 4 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 21 | 4 | 17 | 5 | 12 |
| New Jersey | 17 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 18 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| New Mexico | 41 | 8 | 33 | 23 | 10 | 34 | 10 | 24 | 16 | 8 |
| New York | 19 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 20 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 13 |
| North Carolina | 20 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 22 | 4 | 18 | 5 | 13 |
| North Dakota | 17 | 4 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| Ohio | 13 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 22 | 6 | 16 | 11 | 5 | 22 | 6 | 16 | 7 | 9 |
| Oregon | 26 | 9 | 17 | 12 | 5 | 28 | 7 | 21 | 15 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 15 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 17 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Rhode Island | 26 | 5 | 21 | 8 | 13 | 25 | 4 | 22 | 9 | 13 |
| South Carolina | 18 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 3 |
| South Dakota | 18 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 15 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Texas | 26 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 1 | 26 | 11 | 16 | 13 | 3 |
| Utah | 22 | 5 | 17 | 11 | 6 | 21 | 4 | 17 | 11 | 6 |
| Vermont | 18 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 7 |
| Virginia | 19 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 23 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| Washington | 20 | 5 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 20 | 4 | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| West Virginia | 15 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 4 |
| Wisconsin | 19 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Wyoming | 18 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 10 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 7 | 11 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 18 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 15 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 6 |

[^1]Table A-19. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013—Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2007 |  |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed without Assessed withIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without d accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 23 | 6 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 23 | 5 | 18 | 9 | 9 |
| Alabama | 14 | 3 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Alaska | 28 | 4 | 23 | 12 | 11 | 25 | 3 | 22 | 6 | 16 |
| Arizona | 25 | 6 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 26 | 4 | 22 | 13 | 8 |
| Arkansas | 20 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| California | 40 | 4 | 36 | 32 | 4 | 36 | 3 | 33 | 28 | 5 |
| Colorado | 24 | 4 | 20 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 3 | 18 | 6 | 12 |
| Connecticut | 18 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 12 |
| Delaware | 22 | 12 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Florida | 22 | 7 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 23 | 5 | 19 | 4 | 15 |
| Georgia | 15 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Hawaii | 19 | 4 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 13 |
| Idaho | 18 | 3 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Illinois | 23 | 7 | 16 | 9 | 8 | 22 | 4 | 18 | 5 | 13 |
| Indiana | 20 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 19 | 5 | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| lowa | 17 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 10 |
| Kansas | 19 | 6 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 22 | 6 | 16 | 8 | 9 |
| Kentucky | 17 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Louisiana | 19 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 12 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 16 |
| Maine | 20 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 20 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| Maryland | 17 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Massachusetts | 23 | 6 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 24 | 5 | 19 | 7 | 12 |
| Michigan | 16 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 17 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 7 |
| Minnesota | 21 | 4 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 21 | 3 | 19 | 9 | 9 |
| Mississippi | 12 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Missouri | 17 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Montana | 16 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 22 | 5 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 24 | 5 | 19 | 10 | 9 |
| Nevada | 32 | 8 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 30 | 4 | 26 | 13 | 13 |
| New Hampshire | 21 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 21 | 3 | 17 | 3 | 14 |
| New Jersey | 17 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 19 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| New Mexico | 33 | 12 | 21 | 15 | 6 | 26 | 7 | 19 | 10 | 9 |
| New York | 23 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 15 | 22 | 5 | 18 | 1 | 16 |
| North Carolina | 22 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 19 | 3 | 17 | 5 | 12 |
| North Dakota | 17 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Ohio | 17 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 8 |
| Oklahoma | 20 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 7 |
| Oregon | 28 | 5 | 22 | 13 | 9 | 26 | 4 | 22 | 10 | 12 |
| Pennsylvania | 18 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 11 |
| Rhode Island | 25 | 5 | 21 | 7 | 13 | 22 | 4 | 19 | 5 | 13 |
| South Carolina | 18 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 19 | 5 | 14 | 8 | 5 |
| South Dakota | 18 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Tennessee | 17 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 16 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Texas | 26 | 10 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 29 | 9 | 20 | 16 | 3 |
| Utah | 22 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 7 |
| Vermont | 21 | 7 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 21 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 13 |
| Virginia | 21 | 8 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| Washington | 21 | 5 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 21 | 4 | 17 | 10 | 7 |
| West Virginia | 18 | 2 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 9 | 7 |
| Wisconsin | 20 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 20 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| Wyoming | 19 | 4 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 5 | 12 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 22 | 14 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 20 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 7 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 16 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 6 |

[^2]Table A-19. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013—Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2011 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with accommodations accommodations |  | Assessed withoutAssessed with <br> Identified Excluded Assessed <br> accommodations <br> accommodations |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 23 | 4 | 19 | 9 | 10 | 23 | 3 | 20 | 7 | 13 |
| Alabama | 12 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Alaska | 27 | 2 | 25 | 6 | 20 | 27 | 1 | 26 | 5 | 21 |
| Arizona | 22 | 1 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| Arkansas | 20 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 21 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 15 |
| California | 38 | 2 | 35 | 29 | 6 | 32 | 3 | 30 | 22 | 8 |
| Colorado | 25 | 1 | 23 | 10 | 13 | 23 | 2 | 21 | 8 | 13 |
| Connecticut | 19 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| Delaware | 19 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Florida | 23 | 2 | 21 | 3 | 18 | 25 | 3 | 22 | 3 | 19 |
| Georgia | 16 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Hawaii | 20 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 11 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Idaho | 15 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Illinois | 21 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 20 | 1 | 19 | 4 | 15 |
| Indiana | 22 | 1 | 21 | 7 | 14 | 22 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 16 |
| lowa | 19 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 18 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 14 |
| Kansas | 24 | 2 | 22 | 10 | 12 | 26 | 2 | 24 | 11 | 13 |
| Kentucky | 16 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 10 |
| Louisiana | 22 | 1 | 21 | 4 | 17 | 22 | 1 | 21 | 2 | 19 |
| Maine | 20 | 2 | 19 | 4 | 15 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 2 | 18 |
| Maryland | 19 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 21 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Massachusetts | 25 | 6 | 19 | 7 | 12 | 27 | 3 | 25 | 9 | 16 |
| Michigan | 16 | 4 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 20 | 4 | 16 | 7 | 10 |
| Minnesota | 23 | 2 | 22 | 11 | 10 | 22 | 3 | 19 | 9 | 10 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Missouri | 16 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 11 |
| Montana | 14 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Nebraska | 23 | 4 | 19 | 8 | 11 | 22 | 4 | 19 | 5 | 14 |
| Nevada | 35 | 1 | 34 | 16 | 18 | 31 | 2 | 30 | 7 | 23 |
| New Hampshire | 19 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| New Jersey | 20 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| New Mexico | 27 | 6 | 21 | 11 | 10 | 28 | 1 | 27 | 12 | 15 |
| New York | 23 | 3 | 20 | 1 | 19 | 22 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 20 |
| North Carolina | 21 | 2 | 19 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 13 |
| North Dakota | 17 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Ohio | 17 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| Oklahoma | 21 | 5 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 6 | 14 |
| Oregon | 28 | 3 | 25 | 12 | 13 | 27 | 2 | 24 | 12 | 12 |
| Pennsylvania | 18 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 13 |
| Rhode Island | 19 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 14 |
| South Carolina | 18 | 3 | 16 | 8 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 9 | 10 |
| South Dakota | 19 | 3 | 16 | 9 | 8 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 9 |
| Tennessee | 17 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 18 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 12 |
| Texas | 30 | 10 | 20 | 17 | 3 | 33 | 5 | 28 | 13 | 14 |
| Utah | 19 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 8 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 10 |
| Vermont | 19 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 16 |
| Virginia | 19 | 3 | 16 | 7 | 10 | 19 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 12 |
| Washington | 22 | 3 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 22 | 3 | 19 | 7 | 12 |
| West Virginia | 18 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 21 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 16 | 21 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 17 |
| Wyoming | 19 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 12 | 18 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 13 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 21 | 3 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 20 | 2 | 19 | 1 | 17 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 19 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 7 |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1998-2013 Reading Assessments.

Table A-20. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 1998

| State/jurisdiction | 1998 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | 14 | 6 | 7 |
| Alabama | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Arizona | 17 | 7 | 11 |
| Arkansas | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| California | 23 | 8 | 15 |
| Colorado | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Connecticut | 15 | 8 | 7 |
| Delaware | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Florida | 17 | 5 | 12 |
| Georgia | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Hawaii | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| Illinois | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Kansas | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Louisiana | 14 | 10 | 4 |
| Maine | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Maryland | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| Massachusetts | 17 | 7 | 10 |
| Minnesota | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 7 | 3 |
| Missouri | 13 | 6 | 6 |
| Montana | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Nevada | 15 | 8 | 8 |
| New Mexico | 22 | 7 | 15 |
| New York | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| North Carolina | 14 | 9 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 13 | 9 | 5 |
| Oregon | 14 | 4 | 11 |
| Rhode Island | 16 | 5 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 12 | 6 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 14 | 4 | 9 |
| Texas | 19 | 7 | 12 |
| Utah | 11 | 5 | 7 |
| Virginia | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Washington | 13 | 4 | 8 |
| West Virginia | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Wyoming | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 14 | 9 | 5 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 9 | 4 | 4 |

1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Alaska, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, and Vermont did not participate in the 1998 NAEP reading assessment. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1998 Reading Assessment.

Table A-21. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013

| State/jurisdiction | 1998 |  |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with accommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 14 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
| Alabama | 12 | 6 | 6 | 5 | \# | 14 | 2 | 12 | 11 | 1 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arizona | 17 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 21 | 5 | 16 | 14 | 2 |
| Arkansas | 12 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 2 |
| California | 23 | 4 | 19 | 17 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 23 | 21 | 2 |
| Colorado | 14 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 15 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 17 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Delaware | 14 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 6 |
| Florida | 17 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 21 | 6 | 15 | 8 | 8 |
| Georgia | 12 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 15 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 20 | 5 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 2 |
| Illinois | 12 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Indiana | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 3 |
| lowa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kansas | 12 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Kentucky | 10 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Louisiana | 14 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Maine | 14 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 6 |
| Maryland | 12 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 2 |
| Massachusetts | 17 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 20 | 6 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Michigan | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Minnesota | 13 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 3 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| Missouri | 13 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Montana | 11 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| Nebraska | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 2 |
| Nevada | 15 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 20 | 6 | 14 | 12 | 2 |
| New Hampshire | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 22 | 8 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 31 | 8 | 23 | 17 | 5 |
| New York | 16 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| North Carolina | 14 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| North Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 2 |
| Ohio | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Oklahoma | 13 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 4 |
| Oregon | 14 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 3 |
| Pennsylvania | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Rhode Island | 16 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 15 | 8 | 7 |
| South Carolina | 12 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tennessee | 14 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| Texas | 19 | 5 | 13 | 11 | 3 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 1 |
| Utah | 11 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 2 |
| Vermont | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 6 |
| Virginia | 13 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Washington | 13 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 5 |
| West Virginia | 14 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Wyoming | 10 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 6 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 14 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 21 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 9 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 |

[^3]Table A-21. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013—Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2003 |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed without Assessed withIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 19 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 19 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Alabama | 14 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 2 |
| Alaska | 25 | 2 | 23 | 15 | 7 | 25 | 2 | 23 | 14 | 9 |
| Arizona | 25 | 6 | 19 | 15 | 3 | 23 | 4 | 18 | 11 | 8 |
| Arkansas | 16 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| California | 29 | 4 | 25 | 22 | 3 | 28 | 3 | 25 | 21 | 4 |
| Colorado | 15 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Connecticut | 16 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Delaware | 17 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 17 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Florida | 23 | 6 | 17 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 5 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| Georgia | 12 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Hawaii | 21 | 5 | 16 | 9 | 7 | 19 | 4 | 15 | 7 | 8 |
| Idaho | 17 | 4 | 13 | 12 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 4 |
| Illinois | 17 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Indiana | 16 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| lowa | 17 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| Kansas | 16 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 14 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Louisiana | 15 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Maine | 17 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Maryland | 15 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Michigan | 13 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Minnesota | 17 | 3 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 8 | 7 |
| Mississippi | 9 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Missouri | 17 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Montana | 16 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Nebraska | 18 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 7 |
| Nevada | 18 | 4 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 22 | 4 | 18 | 12 | 6 |
| New Hampshire | 19 | 3 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 20 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 10 |
| New Jersey | 18 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| New Mexico | 31 | 8 | 23 | 14 | 9 | 27 | 8 | 20 | 13 | 7 |
| New York | 19 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| North Carolina | 18 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| North Dakota | 16 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Ohio | 13 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 18 | 4 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 19 | 5 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Oregon | 20 | 6 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 19 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Pennsylvania | 16 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Rhode Island | 24 | 4 | 19 | 8 | 12 | 23 | 4 | 19 | 8 | 11 |
| South Carolina | 15 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| South Dakota | 13 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Tennessee | 15 | 3 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Texas | 20 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 10 | 3 |
| Utah | 16 | 3 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 17 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Vermont | 18 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 15 | 7 | 9 |
| Virginia | 17 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 4 |
| Washington | 16 | 4 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| West Virginia | 18 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 5 |
| Wisconsin | 16 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Wyoming | 16 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 20 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 19 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 9 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 11 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
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— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1998-2013 Reading Assessments.

Table A-22. Percentage of twelfth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 2009 and 2013

| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
NOTE: States/jurisdictions that did not participate at the state level in 2009 and/or 2013 are not shown. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2013 Reading Assessments.

Table A-23. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 1992, 1994, and 1998

| State/jurisdiction | 1992 |  |  | 1994 |  |  | 1998 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | 8 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| Alabama | 10 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| Arizona | 8 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Arkansas | 11 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| California | 8 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Colorado | 8 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Connecticut | 11 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
| Delaware | 11 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 7 | 8 |
| Florida | 13 | 7 | 6 | 17 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Georgia | 8 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 9 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Idaho | 8 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 6 | - | - | - |
| Illinois | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Indiana | 7 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - |
| lowa | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 8 | 7 |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Kentucky | 7 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 9 | 4 |
| Louisiana | 7 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 12 | 3 |
| Maine | 11 | 5 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 6 |
| Maryland | 12 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 2 |
| Massachusetts | 14 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 9 |
| Michigan | 6 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| Minnesota | 8 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Mississippi | 7 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Missouri | 11 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 7 | 6 |
| Montana | - | - | - | 10 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Nebraska | 13 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 4 | 11 | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| New Hampshire | 11 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| New Jersey | 7 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 10 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 9 | 5 |
| New York | 8 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 3 |
| North Carolina | 11 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 4 |
| North Dakota | 10 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Ohio | 9 | 6 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oklahoma | 11 | 8 | 3 | - | - | - | 12 | 9 | 4 |
| Oregon | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Pennsylvania | 7 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Rhode Island | 10 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 9 |
| South Carolina | 11 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 11 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 11 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Texas | 9 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Utah | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 6 |
| Virginia | 11 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| Washington | - | - | - | 11 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| West Virginia | 8 | 5 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 9 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 13 | 9 | 5 |
| Wyoming | 10 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 9 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
| DoDEA1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 4 | 3 |

— Not available.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Alaska, South Dakota, and Vermont did not participate in NAEP reading assessments from 1992 to 1998. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1992, 1994, and 1998 Reading Assessments.
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[^6]Table A-24. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2003 |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed without Assessed withIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with Identified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 14 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Alabama | 12 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Alaska | 16 | 2 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 8 |
| Arizona | 11 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| California | 10 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Colorado | 11 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Connecticut | 12 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Delaware | 17 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 17 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Florida | 16 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 5 | 14 | 4 | 10 |
| Georgia | 13 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 11 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Idaho | 12 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Illinois | 16 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Indiana | 13 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| lowa | 15 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Kansas | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 6 |
| Kentucky | 14 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Louisiana | 20 | 6 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 23 | 14 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Maine | 18 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 7 |
| Maryland | 13 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| Massachusetts | 17 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 12 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Michigan | 11 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Minnesota | 13 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Mississippi | 10 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| Missouri | 16 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Montana | 14 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 17 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 7 |
| Nevada | 13 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| New Hampshire | 17 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 19 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 11 |
| New Jersey | 13 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 8 |
| New Mexico | 18 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| New York | 14 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| North Carolina | 17 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 17 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| North Dakota | 15 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Ohio | 12 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 17 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 18 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Oregon | 17 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 4 |
| Pennsylvania | 14 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Rhode Island | 19 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 2 | 17 | 6 | 11 |
| South Carolina | 16 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 3 |
| South Dakota | 14 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| Tennessee | 14 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Texas | 14 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Utah | 13 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Vermont | 17 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Virginia | 14 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Washington | 14 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| West Virginia | 15 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Wyoming | 15 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 10 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 11 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 13 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| DoDEA1 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 |

[^7]Table A-24. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2007 |  |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed without Assessed withIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with Identified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 14 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Alabama | 12 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| Alaska | 15 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Arizona | 11 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| California | 10 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Colorado | 11 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Connecticut | 14 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Delaware | 18 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Florida | 16 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 10 |
| Georgia | 13 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Hawaii | 10 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| Idaho | 11 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| Illinois | 15 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Indiana | 16 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 16 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| lowa | 13 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Kansas | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 15 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| Louisiana | 19 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 14 |
| Maine | 19 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Maryland | 13 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 19 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Michigan | 14 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Minnesota | 14 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| Missouri | 16 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Montana | 12 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 16 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 7 |
| Nevada | 13 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 18 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| New Jersey | 14 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 16 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| New Mexico | 14 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| New York | 15 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| North Carolina | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 9 |
| North Dakota | 15 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 5 |
| Ohio | 15 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Oklahoma | 15 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Oregon | 15 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 8 |
| Pennsylvania | 16 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 9 |
| Rhode Island | 19 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| South Dakota | 15 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| Tennessee | 16 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Texas | 13 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Utah | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Vermont | 18 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| Virginia | 15 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| Washington | 15 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| West Virginia | 17 | 2 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 8 | 7 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 9 |
| Wyoming | 16 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 10 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 15 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 10 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 5 |

[^8]Table A-24. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2011 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed withou d accommodations | Assessed with s accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 9 |
| Alabama | 10 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Alaska | 16 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 16 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 12 |
| Arizona | 12 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| California | 10 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 6 |
| Colorado | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| Connecticut | 14 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Delaware | 16 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Florida | 16 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 11 |
| Georgia | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Hawaii | 10 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 7 |
| Idaho | 11 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Illinois | 14 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Indiana | 16 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 12 |
| lowa | 15 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| Kansas | 14 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 10 |
| Kentucky | 15 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 8 |
| Louisiana | 20 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 16 | 20 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 17 |
| Maine | 17 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 17 |
| Maryland | 14 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| Michigan | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Minnesota | 15 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Mississippi | 9 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Missouri | 13 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 9 |
| Montana | 12 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 7 |
| Nebraska | 17 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 17 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Nevada | 11 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| New Hampshire | 17 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 12 |
| New Jersey | 17 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| New Mexico | 13 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| New York | 16 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 15 |
| North Carolina | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| North Dakota | 15 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Ohio | 14 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 11 |
| Oklahoma | 15 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| Oregon | 15 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Pennsylvania | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Rhode Island | 14 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| South Dakota | 16 | 3 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Tennessee | 14 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Texas | 10 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Utah | 13 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Vermont | 17 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| Virginia | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Washington | 14 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 8 |
| West Virginia | 17 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 18 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| Wyoming | 16 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 12 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 15 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 15 | 1 | 14 | \# | 14 |
| DoDEA1 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 |

- Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1998-2013 Reading Assessments.

Table A-25. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 1998

| State/jurisdiction | 1998 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Alabama | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Arizona | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Arkansas | 10 | 6 | 5 |
| California | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Colorado | 10 | 3 | 6 |
| Connecticut | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Delaware | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| Florida | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Georgia | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Hawaii | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Illinois | 9 | 5 | 5 |
| Kansas | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Kentucky | 9 | 5 | 5 |
| Louisiana | 13 | 9 | 4 |
| Maine | 13 | 7 | 7 |
| Maryland | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Massachusetts | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Minnesota | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 7 | 3 |
| Missouri | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Montana | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Nevada | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| New Mexico | 15 | 7 | 9 |
| New York | 10 | 7 | 4 |
| North Carolina | 12 | 8 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 12 | 8 | 3 |
| Oregon | 12 | 3 | 8 |
| Rhode Island | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| South Carolina | 12 | 6 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Texas | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Utah | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Virginia | 12 | 6 | 5 |
| Washington | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| West Virginia | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Wisconsin | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Wyoming | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| DoDEA1 | 7 | 4 | 4 |

1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Alaska, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, and Vermont did not participate in the 1998 NAEP reading assessment. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1998 Reading Assessment.

Table A-26. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013


[^9]Table A-26. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2003 |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed without Assessed withIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 14 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Alabama | 13 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 2 |
| Alaska | 15 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 8 |
| Arizona | 12 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Arkansas | 14 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 4 |
| California | 11 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Colorado | 10 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Connecticut | 14 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Delaware | 16 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Florida | 17 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Georgia | 10 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 5 |
| Hawaii | 16 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 6 |
| Idaho | 12 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| Illinois | 14 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Indiana | 14 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| lowa | 15 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Kansas | 13 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 13 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Louisiana | 14 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| Maine | 16 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 19 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Maryland | 13 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Massachusetts | 16 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Michigan | 12 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Minnesota | 13 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Mississippi | 8 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Missouri | 16 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Montana | 15 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 16 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Nevada | 12 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 18 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 19 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 10 |
| New Jersey | 15 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 16 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| New Mexico | 19 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 16 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| New York | 15 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| North Carolina | 16 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| North Dakota | 15 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Ohio | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 15 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Oregon | 14 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Pennsylvania | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Rhode Island | 19 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 3 | 17 | 7 | 10 |
| South Carolina | 15 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| South Dakota | 11 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Tennessee | 13 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Texas | 15 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| Utah | 11 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Vermont | 17 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 19 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| Virginia | 14 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Washington | 13 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| West Virginia | 18 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Wyoming | 14 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 16 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 5 |

[^10]Table A-26. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2007 |  |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations $\begin{array}{r}\text { Assessed } \\ \text { withou }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | fied Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodationsAssessed <br> withoutAssessed with |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 13 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Alabama | 13 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 2 |
| Alaska | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Arizona | 11 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| California | 9 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Colorado | 10 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Connecticut | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Delaware | 16 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Florida | 14 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| Georgia | 11 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Hawaii | 15 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Idaho | 11 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Illinois | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Indiana | 15 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| lowa | 16 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 9 |
| Kansas | 13 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 6 |
| Kentucky | 13 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Louisiana | 14 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| Maine | 17 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Maryland | 12 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 19 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 12 |
| Michigan | 15 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Minnesota | 12 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Mississippi | 9 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Missouri | 13 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Montana | 13 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 13 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 |
| Nevada | 11 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 6 |
| New Hampshire | 18 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 21 | 3 | 17 | 5 | 12 |
| New Jersey | 15 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 9 |
| New Mexico | 15 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| New York | 14 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 16 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| North Carolina | 15 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| North Dakota | 14 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Ohio | 17 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Oregon | 11 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Pennsylvania | 18 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 12 |
| Rhode Island | 18 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| South Dakota | 11 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Tennessee | 12 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Texas | 13 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Utah | 10 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Vermont | 20 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 20 | 3 | 17 | 6 | 11 |
| Virginia | 14 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Washington | 11 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| West Virginia | 15 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Wyoming | 14 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 18 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| DoDEA1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 |

See notes at end of table.

Table A-26. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2011 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without d accommodations | Assessed with s accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 13 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Alabama | 10 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 4 |
| Alaska | 13 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Arizona | 11 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Arkansas | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| California | 10 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Colorado | 10 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Connecticut | 12 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Delaware | 14 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 15 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Florida | 14 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| Georgia | 10 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Hawaii | 11 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Idaho | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| Illinois | 14 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| Indiana | 14 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| lowa | 15 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| Kansas | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Kentucky | 12 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 8 |
| Louisiana | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 13 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 13 |
| Maine | 18 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 13 | 18 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 14 |
| Maryland | 11 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Massachusetts | 19 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 15 |
| Michigan | 12 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Minnesota | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Mississippi | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| Missouri | 13 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Montana | 12 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Nebraska | 14 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Nevada | 10 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| New Hampshire | 18 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 18 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 13 |
| New Jersey | 17 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 14 |
| New Mexico | 12 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 8 |
| New York | 16 | 2 | 14 | \# | 13 | 17 | \# | 16 | \# | 16 |
| North Carolina | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| North Dakota | 14 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Ohio | 15 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 2 | 13 | \# | 12 |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 11 |
| Oregon | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Pennsylvania | 16 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| Rhode Island | 16 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 11 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| South Dakota | 11 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Tennessee | 12 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Texas | 11 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Utah | 10 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 7 |
| Vermont | 18 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 15 |
| Virginia | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Washington | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| West Virginia | 14 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 8 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 11 |
| Wyoming | 13 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 17 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 18 | 1 | 17 | \# | 16 |
| DoDEA1 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 6 |

- Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1998-2013 Reading Assessments.

Table A-27. Percentage of twelfth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 2009 and 2013

| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
NOTE: States/jurisdictions that did not participate at the state level in 2009 and/or 2013 are not shown. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2013 Reading Assessments.

Table A-28. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 1992, 1994, and 1998

| State/jurisdiction | 1992 |  |  | 1994 |  |  | 1998 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Alabama | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Arizona | 10 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Arkansas | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| California | 21 | 11 | 10 | 24 | 9 | 14 | 26 | 13 | 13 |
| Colorado | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Connecticut | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Delaware | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Florida | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Georgia | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Hawaii | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
| Idaho | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Illinois | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Indiana | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | - | - | - |
| lowa | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Kentucky | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Louisiana | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Maine | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Maryland | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Massachusetts | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Michigan | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Mississippi | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Missouri | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Montana | - | - | - | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Nebraska | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 7 | 4 |
| New Hampshire | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| New Jersey | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| New York | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| North Carolina | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| North Dakota | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | - | - | - |
| Ohio | 1 | 1 | \# | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oklahoma | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Oregon | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Pennsylvania | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Rhode Island | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| South Carolina | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Tennessee | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Texas | 9 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Utah | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Virginia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Washington | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Wyoming | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Alaska, South Dakota, and Vermont did not participate in NAEP reading assessments from 1992 to 1998. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1992, 1994, and 1998 Reading Assessments.

Table A-29. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013

| State/jurisdiction | 1998 |  |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  |  |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without ed accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 1 |
| Alabama | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Alaska | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arizona | 14 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 5 | 16 | 15 | 1 |
| Arkansas | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | \# |
| California | 26 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 29 | 3 | 26 | 26 | \# |
| Colorado | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | \# | - | - | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Delaware | 3 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Florida | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | \# | 10 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Georgia | 2 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Hawaii | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | \# | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | \# |
| Illinois | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | \# | 9 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Indiana | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| lowa | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Kansas | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 7 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Kentucky | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Louisiana | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# |
| Maine | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Maryland | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Massachusetts | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Michigan | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Minnesota | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Mississippi | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Missouri | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Montana | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Nebraska | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | \# |
| Nevada | 10 | 6 | 4 | 4 | \# | 18 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| New Hampshire | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 16 | 4 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 27 | 6 | 21 | 19 | 2 |
| New York | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | \# | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| North Carolina | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| North Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# |
| Ohio | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Oklahoma | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Oregon | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Rhode Island | 6 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| South Carolina | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tennessee | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | \# |
| Texas | 13 | 7 | 6 | 6 | \# | 16 | 5 | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| Utah | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | \# | 9 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 1 |
| Vermont | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Virginia | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Washington | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Wyoming | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
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Table A-29. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2011 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with accommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without ed accommodations | Assessed with s accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 11 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Alabama | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Alaska | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 14 | \# | 14 | 2 | 11 |
| Arizona | 12 | \# | 12 | 5 | 6 | 7 | \# | 7 | 1 | 6 |
| Arkansas | 8 | \# | 7 | 3 | 5 | 8 | \# | 8 | 2 | 5 |
| California | 32 | 1 | 30 | 27 | 3 | 26 | 1 | 25 | 21 | 4 |
| Colorado | 16 | \# | 15 | 9 | 7 | 14 | \# | 14 | 7 | 6 |
| Connecticut | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 5 | \# | 5 |
| Delaware | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Florida | 9 | 1 | 8 | \# | 8 | 10 | 1 | 9 | \# | 9 |
| Georgia | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Hawaii | 11 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Idaho | 5 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | \# | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Illinois | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 8 | \# | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Indiana | 7 | \# | 7 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| lowa | 6 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Kansas | 11 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 13 | \# | 13 | 8 | 5 |
| Kentucky | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Louisiana | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Maine | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Maryland | 6 | 3 | 3 | \# | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Massachusetts | 8 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 2 |
| Michigan | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 3 |
| Minnesota | 10 | \# | 9 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| Mississippi | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Missouri | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Montana | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 8 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 7 | \# | 7 | 1 | 5 |
| Nevada | 27 | \# | 27 | 14 | 13 | 23 | \# | 22 | 5 | 17 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| New Jersey | 3 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 3 | \# | 3 | \# | 3 |
| New Mexico | 17 | 3 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 18 | \# | 18 | 10 | 8 |
| New York | 9 | 1 | 8 | \# | 8 | 8 | 1 | 7 | \# | 7 |
| North Carolina | 7 | \# | 7 | 4 | 3 | 7 | \# | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| North Dakota | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Ohio | 3 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 3 | \# | 3 | \# | 2 |
| Oklahoma | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 7 | \# | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Oregon | 14 | 1 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 8 | 5 |
| Pennsylvania | 3 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 6 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| South Carolina | 5 | \# | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 | \# | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| South Dakota | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Tennessee | 3 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 |
| Texas | 22 | 5 | 16 | 15 | 1 | 23 | 2 | 21 | 12 | 9 |
| Utah | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Vermont | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Virginia | 7 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Washington | 11 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 5 |
| West Virginia | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 8 | \# | 8 | 1 | 6 | 8 | \# | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Wyoming | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 7 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 7 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 |

- Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1998-2013 Reading Assessments.

Table A-30. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 1998

| State/jurisdiction | 1998 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Alabama | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Arizona | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Arkansas | 1 | 1 | \# |
| California | 18 | 6 | 12 |
| Colorado | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Connecticut | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Delaware | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Florida | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Georgia | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Illinois | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Kansas | 1 | \# | \# |
| Kentucky | \# | \# | \# |
| Louisiana | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Maine | \# | \# | \# |
| Maryland | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Massachusetts | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Mississippi | \# | \# | \# |
| Missouri | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Montana | \# | \# | \# |
| Nevada | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| New Mexico | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| New York | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| North Carolina | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Oklahoma | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Oregon | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| South Carolina | \# | \# | \# |
| Tennessee | 1 | \# | \# |
| Texas | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Utah | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Virginia | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Washington | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Wyoming | 1 | \# | \# |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| DoDEA1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

\# Rounds to zero.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Alaska, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, and Vermont did not participate in the 1998 NAEP reading assessment. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1998 Reading Assessment.

Table A-31. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013

| State/jurisdiction | 1998 |  |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withaccommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with ed accommodations accommodations |  |
| Nation (public) | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| Alabama | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Arizona | 9 | 3 | 7 | 6 | \# | 13 | 3 | 10 | 10 | \# |
| Arkansas | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| California | 18 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 17 | 1 |
| Colorado | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Delaware | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# |
| Florida | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | \# | 7 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Georgia | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# |
| Hawaii | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | \# |
| Illinois | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | \# |
| Indiana | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| lowa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kansas | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Kentucky | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# |
| Louisiana | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Maine | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Maryland | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# |
| Massachusetts | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Michigan | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Minnesota | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | \# |
| Mississippi | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Missouri | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Montana | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Nebraska | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Nevada | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 | \# | 9 | 3 | 6 | 6 | \# |
| New Hampshire | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 9 | 4 | 5 | 4 | , | 20 | 5 | 15 | 13 | 2 |
| New York | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | \# | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| North Carolina | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| North Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Ohio | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# |
| Oklahoma | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | \# |
| Oregon | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Pennsylvania | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| South Carolina | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tennessee | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Texas | 7 | 2 | 5 | 5 | \# | 9 | 3 | 6 | 6 | \# |
| Utah | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# | 7 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| Vermont | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Virginia | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | \# |
| Washington | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Wyoming | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |

[^13]Table A-31. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2003 |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed without Assessed withIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  | Assessed without $\begin{array}{r}\text { Assessed with }\end{array}$Identified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 6 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Alabama | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Alaska | 13 | \# | 12 | 11 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 14 | 12 | 2 |
| Arizona | 17 | 4 | 13 | 12 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| California | 21 | 2 | 19 | 18 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 18 | 2 |
| Colorado | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Connecticut | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Delaware | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Florida | 8 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Georgia | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hawaii | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Idaho | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 | 4 | \# |
| Illinois | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Indiana | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| lowa | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Kansas | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Kentucky | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Louisiana | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# |
| Maine | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Maryland | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# |
| Massachusetts | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Michigan | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Minnesota | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Mississippi | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Missouri | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Montana | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Nevada | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 2 |
| New Hampshire | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| New Jersey | 2 | 1 | 2 | \# | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# |
| New Mexico | 19 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 3 |
| New York | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| North Carolina | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| North Dakota | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Ohio | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Oklahoma | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Oregon | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Rhode Island | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| South Carolina | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# |
| South Dakota | 3 | \# | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# |
| Tennessee | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Texas | 8 | 3 | 5 | 5 | \# | 8 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Utah | 7 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
| Vermont | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Virginia | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Washington | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | \# | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| West Virginia | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Wisconsin | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Wyoming | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | \# | 4 | \# | 3 | 3 | \# |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

[^14]Table A-31. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2007 |  |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed withoutIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| Alabama | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Alaska | 17 | 1 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Arizona | 11 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | \# | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| California | 22 | 2 | 20 | 19 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 19 | 16 | 3 |
| Colorado | 7 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| Connecticut | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Delaware | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Florida | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | \# | 3 |
| Georgia | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hawaii | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Idaho | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Illinois | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Indiana | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| lowa | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Kansas | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Kentucky | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# |
| Louisiana | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Maine | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Maryland | 2 | 2 | 1 | \# | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | \# | \# |
| Massachusetts | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | \# |
| Michigan | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Minnesota | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Mississippi | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Missouri | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Montana | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Nevada | 10 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| New Hampshire | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| New Jersey | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | \# | \# |
| New Mexico | 18 | 5 | 13 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| New York | 5 | 2 | 3 | \# | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | \# | 3 |
| North Carolina | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Ohio | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# |
| Oklahoma | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Oregon | 8 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| South Carolina | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| South Dakota | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Tennessee | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Texas | 8 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Utah | 9 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Vermont | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Virginia | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Washington | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| West Virginia | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Wyoming | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

[^15]Table A-31. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1998-2013-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2011 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed withou d accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Alabama | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Alaska | 11 | \# | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 | \# | 10 | 2 | 9 |
| Arizona | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Arkansas | 5 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | \# | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| California | 17 | 1 | 16 | 13 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 2 |
| Colorado | 7 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | \# | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Connecticut | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 |
| Delaware | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Florida | 5 | 1 | 4 | \# | 4 | 5 | 1 | 4 | \# | 4 |
| Georgia | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Hawaii | 9 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Idaho | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Illinois | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Indiana | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| lowa | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Kansas | 6 | \# | 6 | 5 | 1 | 8 | \# | 7 | 5 | 3 |
| Kentucky | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 2 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Louisiana | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Maine | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Maryland | 3 | 2 | 1 | \# | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Massachusetts | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Michigan | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Minnesota | 5 | \# | 5 | 3 | 1 | 6 | \# | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Mississippi | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Missouri | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Montana | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# |
| Nebraska | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Nevada | 10 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 7 | \# | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| New Jersey | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| New Mexico | 12 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 8 | 5 |
| New York | 6 | 1 | 5 | \# | 4 | 7 | 1 | 6 | \# | 6 |
| North Carolina | 5 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Ohio | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Oklahoma | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Oregon | 6 | \# | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania | 2 | 1 | 2 | \# | 1 | 3 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| South Carolina | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | \# | 3 | 3 | \# |
| South Dakota | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Tennessee | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Texas | 9 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Utah | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Vermont | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Virginia | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Washington | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 5 | \# | 5 | 1 | 3 | 5 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Wyoming | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |

- Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1998-2013 Reading Assessments.

Table A-32. Percentage of twelfth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP reading when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 2009 and 2013

| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
NOTE: States/jurisdictions that did not participate at the state level in 2009 and/or 2013 are not shown. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2013 Reading Assessments.

Table A-33. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD and/or ELL |  |  |  | SD |  |  |  | ELL |  |  |  |
|  | Excluded Assessed |  | Assessed Assessed <br> without with <br> accom- accom- <br> modations modations |  | Excluded Assessed |  | Assessed Assessed <br> without with <br> accom- accom- <br> modations modations |  | Excluded Assessed |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 12 | 88 | 32 | 56 | 16 | 84 | 17 | 68 | 8 | 92 | 48 | 43 |
| Alabama | 9 | 91 | 47 | 44 | 10 | 90 | 39 | 51 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 5 | 95 | 19 | 76 | 8 | 92 | 18 | 74 | 3 | 97 | 17 | 79 |
| Arizona | 7 | 93 | 13 | 80 | 7 | 93 | 15 | 78 | 4 | 96 | 10 | 86 |
| Arkansas | 5 | 95 | 22 | 73 | 7 | 93 | 17 | 77 | 2 | 98 | 30 | 68 |
| California | 8 | 92 | 68 | 24 | 23 | 77 | 18 | 59 | 5 | 95 | 79 | 16 |
| Colorado | 7 | 93 | 37 | 56 | 12 | 88 | 12 | 77 | 3 | 97 | 52 | 45 |
| Connecticut | 8 | 92 | 9 | 83 | 8 | 92 | 10 | 83 | 11 | 89 | 5 | 84 |
| Delaware | 26 | 74 | 16 | 58 | 26 | 74 | 13 | 61 | 33 | 67 | 33 | 34 |
| Florida | 12 | 88 | 10 | 78 | 13 | 87 | 15 | 72 | 11 | 89 | 1 | 88 |
| Georgia | 31 | 69 | 19 | 51 | 32 | 68 | 17 | 50 | 23 | 77 | 21 | 57 |
| Hawaii | 11 | 89 | 27 | 62 | 13 | 87 | 12 | 74 | 10 | 90 | 42 | 48 |
| Idaho | 10 | 90 | 26 | 63 | 12 | 88 | 19 | 68 | 5 | 95 | 39 | 57 |
| Illinois | 6 | 94 | 21 | 73 | 7 | 93 | 19 | 73 | 5 | 95 | 19 | 76 |
| Indiana | 11 | 89 | 14 | 74 | 12 | 88 | 13 | 75 | 11 | 89 | 15 | 73 |
| lowa | 6 | 94 | 13 | 81 | 6 | 94 | 11 | 82 | 4 | 96 | 17 | 78 |
| Kansas | 7 | 93 | 42 | 51 | 11 | 89 | 23 | 66 | 2 | 98 | 61 | 37 |
| Kentucky | 19 | 81 | 17 | 63 | 19 | 81 | 18 | 63 | 24 | 76 | 15 | 61 |
| Louisiana | 5 | 95 | 10 | 85 | 5 | 95 | 9 | 86 | 6 | 94 | 21 | 74 |
| Maine | 8 | 92 | 10 | 82 | 8 | 92 | 8 | 84 | 5 | 95 | 22 | 73 |
| Maryland | 62 | 38 | 11 | 27 | 66 | 34 | 8 | 26 | 61 | 39 | 13 | 27 |
| Massachusetts | 10 | 90 | 34 | 57 | 11 | 89 | 9 | 80 | 8 | 92 | 71 | 21 |
| Michigan | 19 | 81 | 33 | 48 | 25 | 75 | 16 | 58 | 10 | 90 | 59 | 32 |
| Minnesota | 13 | 87 | 43 | 45 | 16 | 84 | 32 | 52 | 7 | 93 | 58 | 35 |
| Mississippi | 5 | 95 | 36 | 60 | 5 | 95 | 35 | 60 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 8 | 92 | 22 | 70 | 9 | 91 | 23 | 68 | 2 | 98 | 13 | 84 |
| Montana | 19 | 81 | 32 | 49 | 22 | 78 | 22 | 56 | 11 | 89 | 62 | 27 |
| Nebraska | 16 | 84 | 20 | 64 | 19 | 81 | 19 | 62 | 7 | 93 | 21 | 72 |
| Nevada | 5 | 95 | 23 | 72 | 11 | 89 | 16 | 73 | 2 | 98 | 24 | 74 |
| New Hampshire | 14 | 86 | 11 | 75 | 15 | 85 | 8 | 77 | 8 | 92 | 29 | 63 |
| New Jersey | 9 | 91 | 10 | 80 | 9 | 91 | 11 | 80 | 10 | 90 | 5 | 85 |
| New Mexico | 4 | 96 | 42 | 54 | 7 | 93 | 22 | 71 | 2 | 98 | 52 | 46 |
| New York | 6 | 94 | 3 | 91 | 5 | 95 | 4 | 91 | 7 | 93 | \# | 92 |
| North Carolina | 9 | 91 | 26 | 65 | 10 | 90 | 17 | 72 | 7 | 93 | 43 | 50 |
| North Dakota | 26 | 74 | 18 | 56 | 27 | 73 | 17 | 56 | 24 | 76 | 25 | 52 |
| Ohio | 15 | 85 | 11 | 74 | 16 | 84 | 11 | 73 | 7 | 93 | 12 | 81 |
| Oklahoma | 8 | 92 | 27 | 65 | 9 | 91 | 23 | 68 | 5 | 95 | 35 | 60 |
| Oregon | 9 | 91 | 45 | 46 | 15 | 85 | 27 | 58 | 7 | 93 | 58 | 35 |
| Pennsylvania | 13 | 87 | 19 | 69 | 13 | 87 | 19 | 68 | 10 | 90 | 12 | 78 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 93 | 18 | 75 | 5 | 95 | 6 | 89 | 11 | 89 | 39 | 50 |
| South Carolina | 9 | 91 | 43 | 49 | 11 | 89 | 28 | 61 | 4 | 96 | 75 | 22 |
| South Dakota | 12 | 88 | 39 | 49 | 13 | 87 | 38 | 49 | 8 | 92 | 33 | 58 |
| Tennessee | 18 | 82 | 13 | 70 | 18 | 82 | 14 | 68 | 18 | 82 | 5 | 77 |
| Texas | 15 | 85 | 41 | 44 | 28 | 72 | 17 | 56 | 11 | 89 | 50 | 39 |
| Utah | 17 | 83 | 25 | 58 | 21 | 79 | 23 | 57 | 15 | 85 | 23 | 62 |
| Vermont | 6 | 94 | 11 | 83 | 6 | 94 | 10 | 84 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 8 | 92 | 29 | 63 | 8 | 92 | 23 | 69 | 8 | 92 | 36 | 56 |
| Washington | 13 | 87 | 30 | 57 | 17 | 83 | 24 | 59 | 8 | 92 | 37 | 56 |
| West Virginia | 9 | 91 | 44 | 47 | 9 | 91 | 44 | 47 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 7 | 93 | 15 | 78 | 10 | 90 | 15 | 75 | 3 | 97 | 12 | 85 |
| Wyoming | 7 | 93 | 19 | 74 | 7 | 93 | 18 | 75 | 10 | 90 | 24 | 66 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 8 | 92 | 6 | 86 | 8 | 92 | 3 | 89 | 10 | 90 | 12 | 78 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 32 | 68 | 29 | 39 | 37 | 63 | 22 | 41 | 24 | 76 | 42 | 35 |

\# Rounds to zero.
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-34. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD and/or ELL |  |  |  | SD |  |  |  | ELL |  |  |  |
|  | Excluded Assessed |  | Assessed Assessed <br> without with <br> accom- accom- <br> modations modations |  | Excluded Assessed |  | Assessed Assessed <br> without with <br> accom- accom- <br> modations modations |  | Excluded Assessed |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 13 | 87 | 22 | 65 | 15 | 85 | 12 | 73 | 10 | 90 | 43 | 46 |
| Alabama | 10 | 90 | 46 | 44 | 10 | 90 | 43 | 46 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 6 | 94 | 14 | 80 | 7 | 93 | 9 | 84 | 4 | 96 | 17 | 78 |
| Arizona | 11 | 89 | 15 | 74 | 10 | 90 | 14 | 76 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Arkansas | 10 | 90 | 18 | 71 | 14 | 86 | 8 | 78 | 4 | 96 | 39 | 56 |
| California | 13 | 87 | 52 | 35 | 22 | 78 | 17 | 61 | 9 | 91 | 71 | 20 |
| Colorado | 7 | 93 | 32 | 62 | 9 | 91 | 14 | 77 | 4 | 96 | 52 | 44 |
| Connecticut | 12 | 88 | 10 | 78 | 12 | 88 | 10 | 79 | 13 | 87 | 8 | 79 |
| Delaware | 20 | 80 | 8 | 72 | 19 | 81 | 5 | 76 | 34 | 66 | 28 | 37 |
| Florida | 11 | 89 | 3 | 86 | 10 | 90 | 4 | 86 | 14 | 86 | 1 | 85 |
| Georgia | 28 | 72 | 11 | 61 | 31 | 69 | 9 | 60 | 11 | 89 | 18 | 71 |
| Hawaii | 9 | 91 | 31 | 59 | 10 | 90 | 18 | 72 | 9 | 91 | 44 | 47 |
| Idaho | 14 | 86 | 19 | 67 | 16 | 84 | 13 | 71 | 8 | 92 | 33 | 59 |
| Illinois | 9 | 91 | 15 | 77 | 9 | 91 | 9 | 83 | 8 | 92 | 27 | 65 |
| Indiana | 11 | 89 | 11 | 78 | 12 | 88 | 8 | 80 | 5 | 95 | 20 | 75 |
| lowa | 8 | 92 | 11 | 80 | 8 | 92 | 9 | 83 | 8 | 92 | 21 | 71 |
| Kansas | 9 | 91 | 31 | 60 | 12 | 88 | 10 | 78 | 2 | 98 | 63 | 34 |
| Kentucky | 25 | 75 | 9 | 66 | 27 | 73 | 7 | 66 | 17 | 83 | 23 | 60 |
| Louisiana | 8 | 92 | 5 | 87 | 7 | 93 | 4 | 89 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | 8 | 92 | 15 | 77 | 7 | 93 | 14 | 79 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | 60 | 40 | 6 | 34 | 60 | 40 | 6 | 34 | 61 | 39 | 6 | 33 |
| Massachusetts | 10 | 90 | 21 | 69 | 8 | 92 | 6 | 86 | 18 | 82 | 63 | 20 |
| Michigan | 22 | 78 | 16 | 61 | 24 | 76 | 12 | 64 | 17 | 83 | 30 | 52 |
| Minnesota | 13 | 87 | 40 | 47 | 16 | 84 | 31 | 52 | 5 | 95 | 59 | 36 |
| Mississippi | 8 | 92 | 22 | 71 | 7 | 93 | 18 | 75 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 8 | 92 | 13 | 79 | 7 | 93 | 11 | 82 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | 18 | 82 | 25 | 58 | 19 | 81 | 18 | 63 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nebraska | 19 | 81 | 18 | 64 | 18 | 82 | 16 | 66 | 20 | 80 | 25 | 56 |
| Nevada | 6 | 94 | 25 | 69 | 8 | 92 | 15 | 77 | 2 | 98 | 35 | 63 |
| New Hampshire | 15 | 85 | 13 | 72 | 14 | 86 | 12 | 74 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 14 | 86 | 5 | 81 | 13 | 87 | 5 | 82 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Mexico | 7 | 93 | 50 | 42 | 9 | 91 | 35 | 56 | 7 | 93 | 57 | 36 |
| New York | 4 | 96 | 2 | 93 | 3 | 97 | 2 | 95 | 9 | 91 | 2 | 89 |
| North Carolina | 10 | 90 | 22 | 68 | 10 | 90 | 14 | 76 | 9 | 91 | 48 | 43 |
| North Dakota | 27 | 73 | 12 | 61 | 29 | 71 | 8 | 63 | 18 | 82 | 33 | 49 |
| Ohio | 14 | 86 | 4 | 82 | 14 | 86 | 3 | 83 | 9 | 91 | 17 | 74 |
| Oklahoma | 7 | 93 | 27 | 66 | 8 | 92 | 20 | 72 | 4 | 96 | 48 | 48 |
| Oregon | 9 | 91 | 22 | 69 | 10 | 90 | 18 | 72 | 7 | 93 | 30 | 63 |
| Pennsylvania | 10 | 90 | 12 | 78 | 8 | 92 | 12 | 80 | 16 | 84 | 14 | 69 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 93 | 13 | 80 | 5 | 95 | 12 | 83 | 13 | 87 | 17 | 69 |
| South Carolina | 12 | 88 | 39 | 49 | 14 | 86 | 26 | 59 | 4 | 96 | 82 | 13 |
| South Dakota | 22 | 78 | 32 | 45 | 22 | 78 | 27 | 52 | 22 | 78 | 61 | 18 |
| Tennessee | 26 | 74 | 11 | 63 | 27 | 73 | 11 | 62 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 20 | 80 | 24 | 56 | 25 | 75 | 13 | 61 | 12 | 88 | 37 | 51 |
| Utah | 22 | 78 | 18 | 60 | 24 | 76 | 12 | 64 | 18 | 82 | 30 | 53 |
| Vermont | 5 | 95 | 12 | 83 | 3 | 97 | 11 | 85 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 8 | 92 | 29 | 63 | 8 | 92 | 22 | 70 | 7 | 93 | 46 | 47 |
| Washington | 15 | 85 | 26 | 59 | 17 | 83 | 18 | 65 | 11 | 89 | 40 | 49 |
| West Virginia | 14 | 86 | 29 | 57 | 14 | 86 | 28 | 59 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 9 | 91 | 11 | 80 | 10 | 90 | 8 | 82 | 5 | 95 | 19 | 76 |
| Wyoming | 7 | 93 | 14 | 79 | 7 | 93 | 10 | 83 | 5 | 95 | 40 | 55 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 8 | 92 | 6 | 86 | 5 | 95 | 2 | 93 | 14 | 86 | 15 | 71 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 28 | 72 | 19 | 52 | 32 | 68 | 11 | 57 | 24 | 76 | 39 | 37 |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-35. Percentage of twelfth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met.
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. States/jurisdictions that did not participate at the state level are not shown. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.

Table A-36. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2002-13

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2002 |  |  |  |  | 2003 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

Table A-36. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2002-13-Continued

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2005 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed  <br> without  <br> accom- accom- <br> accomenth  <br> modations modations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed  <br> without  <br> accom- accom- <br> modations modations |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

Table A-36. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2002-13-Continued

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Assessed } \\ \text { without } \\ \text { accom- } \\ \text { modations } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

Table A-36. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2002-13-Continued

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

[^16]
## \# Rounds to zero.

${ }^{1}$ Large city includes students from all cities in the nation with populations of 250,000 or more including the participating districts.
NOTE: Beginning in 2009, if the results for charter schools are not included in the school district's Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) report to the U.S. Department of Education under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, they are excluded from that district's Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) results. Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2002-13 Reading Assessments.

Table A-37. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2002-13

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2002 |  |  |  |  | 2003 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed  <br> without  <br> accom- accom- <br> accossed with  <br> modations modations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed  <br> without Assessed with  <br> accom- accom- <br> modations modations |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

Table A-37. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2002-13-Continued

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2005 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed  <br> without Assessed with  <br> accom- accom- <br> modations modations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed  <br> without Assessed with  <br> accom- accom- <br> modations modations |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

Table A-37. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2002-13-Continued

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Assessed } \\ \text { without } \\ \text { accom- } \\ \text { modations } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

Table A-37. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP reading, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2002-13-Continued

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

[^17]
## \# Rounds to zero.

${ }^{1}$ Large city includes students from all cities in the nation with populations of 250,000 or more including the participating districts.
NOTE: Beginning in 2009, if the results for charter schools are not included in the school district's Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) report to the U.S. Department of Education under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, they are excluded from that district's Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) results. Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2002-13 Reading Assessments.

## Data Collection

The NAEP 2013 reading assessment was conducted from January to March 2013 by contractors to the U.S. Department of Education. Data collection for NAEP involves a collaborative effort among the participating schools, school districts, states, and NAEP staff. To reduce the burden on the participating schools, NAEP field staff perform most of the work associated with the assessment. The cooperation of the schools involves enlisting a school staff member to assist in coordinating selected students and providing space to administer the assessments.

Assessment sessions are scripted so that all students are given the same instructions and opportunity to demonstrate what they know and can do. Assessment administrators conduct the sessions under the supervision of their team's assessment coordinator. Training of assessment administrators focuses on their responsibilities in the classroom and on reading the scripts verbatim to administer the sessions in a uniform manner.

NAEP procedures guarantee the anonymity of participants. The names of students are never removed from the schools. The results of NAEP are reported on the national level and by region of the country, state, and for some urban districts-not by school or individual student.

## Scoring

Three types of cognitive items were scored for the NAEP reading assessment. Responses to multiple-choice questions were scored by high-speed scanners during student booklet processing. Short constructed-response questions (those with two or three score level categories) and extended constructed-response questions (those with four or five score level categories) were scored by trained personnel using high-definition images of student responses captured during processing.

Scoring a large number of short and extended constructed-responses with a high level of accuracy and reliability within a limited time frame is essential to the success of NAEP. To ensure reliable, efficient scoring, NAEP
¿ develops focused, explicit scoring guides for each item that match the criteria delineated in the assessment frameworks,
i pilot tests all items and adjusts the scoring guides (if necessary) to reflect actual student responses,
recruits qualified and experienced scorers, trains them, and verifies their ability to score cognitive questions for a particular subject through qualifying tests,
$\therefore$ employs an image-processing and scoring system that routes images of student responses directly to the scorers so they can focus on scoring rather than paper routing,
¿ monitors scorer consistency through a second scoring a percentage of responses,
¿ assesses the quality of scorer decision-making through constant monitoring by NAEP assessment experts, and
documents all training, scoring, and quality control procedures in the technical reports.
For the 2013 reading assessment, more than almost three million individual student responses were scored in all three grades (including rescoring to monitor interrater reliability). Most of the reading items were scored with 95 percent or higher exact agreement between raters of the same student responses.

## Data Analysis and Scaling

The goal of the analysis of NAEP data is to summarize the performance of groups of students. Initial analysis activities verify the accuracy of the data and data files used in the analysis and provide the first indication of aspects of the data and analysis that require special consideration and attention. The first step is to determine the percentages of students who gave various responses to each cognitive item. Next, the properties of the items are further examined using classical test theory measures of item difficulty and item discrimination. Some of these activities are conducted without student weights or with preliminary student weights, but final student weights are used whenever possible.

After the initial activities are completed, NAEP score scales are created using Item Response Theory (IRT), and scale score distributions are estimated for groups of students. Not all students take the same blocks of items in a NAEP assessment, so results cannot be summarized using the total number of correct item responses. Instead, IRT models are used to describe the relationships between the item responses provided by students and the underlying scale (e.g., reading ability). The primary purpose of IRT scaling is to provide a common scale on which performance can be compared even when students receive different blocks of items. Item parameters that are used in the models are estimated from student response data for each item. Different IRT models with different types of item parameters are used to describe multiple-choice items, constructed-response items that are scored simply right or wrong, and complex constructed-response items that have three or more categories.

Because the NAEP design gives each student a small proportion of the pool of assessment items, the assessment cannot provide reliable information about individual student performance. Traditional test scores for individual students, even those based on IRT, would result in misleading estimates of population characteristics, such as student group means and percentages of students at or above a certain scale-score level. However, it is NAEP's goal to estimate these population characteristics. This is accomplished using marginal estimation techniques for latent variables. Under the assumptions of the analysis models, these population estimates will be consistent in the sense that the estimates approach the population values as the sample size increases.

IRT and the NAEP marginal estimation methodology are used to estimate score scales for each of the reading text types at each grade (e.g., at grades 4 and 8, score scales are estimated for literary texts and for informational texts). The scales summarize student performance across all three types of questions in the assessment (multiplechoice, short constructed-response, and extended constructed-response). Each scale score distribution is transformed to a NAEP scale that ranges from 0 to 500. A reading composite scale is subsequently created by combining the scales associated with each text type. Summary statistics of the scale scores are estimated, and statistical tests are used to make inferences about the comparisons of results for different groups of students or for different assessment years. Finally, NAEP scale score distributions are described via achievement levels and/or item mapping procedures. For more information about NAEP analysis, IRT, and scaling see http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/tdw/analysis/.

## Variance Estimation

The averages and percentages in this report are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Moreover, the collection of questions used at each grade level is only a sample of the many questions that could have been asked to assess the skills and abilities described in the NAEP framework. Therefore, the results are subject to a measure of uncertainty, reflected in the standard error of the estimates-a range of up to a few points above or below the score or percentage-which takes into account potential score fluctuation due to sampling error and measurement error.

Because NAEP uses complex sampling procedures, conventional formulas for estimating sampling variability that assume simple random sampling are inappropriate. NAEP uses a jackknife replication procedure to estimate standard errors. The jackknife standard error provides a reasonable measure of uncertainty for any student information that can be observed without error. However, because each student typically responds to only a few questions associated with each reading text type, the estimated scale score for any single student would be imprecise. In this case, NAEP's marginal estimation methodology is used to describe the performance of groups of students without requiring precise estimates of individual student performance. The estimate of the variance of the students' scale score distributions (which reflect the imprecision due to lack of measurement accuracy) is computed. This component of variability is then included in the standard errors of NAEP scale scores.

## Drawing Inferences from the NAEP Results

Drawing correct inferences from NAEP assessment results depends on the use of appropriate statistical procedures for comparing assessment results for population groups of interest and following guidelines to ensure the validity of the inferences. Comparisons of different groups of students with respect to scores or percentages of a certain attribute are of primary interest to users of NAEP results. The user is cautioned to rely on the results of statistical tests, rather than on the apparent magnitude of the difference between two numbers when determining whether differences are likely to represent actual differences among the groups in the population.
$\boldsymbol{t}$ Test Comparison: By convention, references to differences in NAEP reports indicate that scores or percentages from two groups are different (e.g., one group performed higher or lower than another group) only when the difference in the point estimates for the groups being compared is statistically significant at an approximate level of .05 .

Since 1998, $t$ tests have been used for most NAEP comparisons. These tests are more appropriate than $z$ tests (based on normal distribution approximations) when the statistics that are being compared are from distributions with proportionally larger extremes (i.e., thicker tails) than the normal distribution. One aspect of the use of $t$ tests that contributes to the difficulty in their use for large-scale surveys is the determination of the appropriate degrees of freedom for the $t$ distribution of interest.

Multiple Comparison Procedures: The $t$ test used by NAEP and the certainty ascribed to intervals (e.g., a 95 percent confidence interval) are based on statistical theory that assumes only one confidence interval is being examined or only one test of statistical significance is being performed. However, in some sections of a report, many different groups may be compared (i.e., multiple sets of confidence intervals are being analyzed). In sets of confidence intervals, statistical theory indicates that certainty associated with the entire set of intervals is less than that attributable to each individual comparison from the set. To hold the significance level for the set of comparisons at a particular level (e.g., .05), adjustments-called multiple comparison procedures-must be made to the methods.

To ensure that comparisons made using NAEP data are as accurate as possible, error rates are controlled when multiple comparisons are made. When making a number of comparisons in a single analysis, such as analyzing White student performance versus the performance of Black, Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, and American Indian/Alaska Native students, the probability of finding significant differences by chance, for at least one comparison, increases with the family size or number of comparisons. There are several ways to take into account how many related comparisons are being made. In NAEP, the Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate (FDR) procedure is used to control for this.

Unlike other multiple comparison procedures (e.g., the Bonferroni procedure) that control the familywise error rate (i.e., the probability of making even one false rejection in the set of comparisons), the FDR procedure controls the expected proportion of falsely rejected hypotheses. Familywise procedures are considered conservative for large families of comparisons; therefore the FDR procedure is more suitable for multiple comparisons in NAEP than other procedures. There are two exceptions where the FDR is not applied: when comparing multiple years and when comparing a state's overall results to the nation.

## NAEP Reporting Groups

In addition to overall results for each grade assessed, NAEP results are reported for certain student groups provided there are sufficient numbers of students and adequate school representation. Results for some student groups may not be available for certain years, grades, or jurisdictions.

Race/Ethnicity: The school-recorded race/ethnicity variable records the race/ethnicity of each student as reported by the student's school. When the school-recorded information is missing, student-reported data derived from the student background questions are used. For 2013, the mutually exclusive racial/ethnic categories are White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian/Alaska Native, Native Hawiian or Other Pacific Islander, and Two or more races. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin unless specified.

Gender: The gender of the student assessed is taken from school records.
Eligibility for the National School Lunch Program: The school lunch variable is based on available school records. Students are classified as either currently eligible or not currently eligible for the national lunch component of the Department of Agriculture's National School Lunch Program. The classification refers only to the school year when the assessment was administered and is not based on eligibility in previous years. If school records are not available, the student is classified as "Information not available." If the school did not participate in the program, all students in that school were classified as "Information not available." Eligibility for the program is determined by student's family income in relation to the federally established poverty level. Free lunch qualification is set at 130 percent of the poverty level or below, and reduced-price lunch qualification is set at between 130 and 185 percent of the poverty level. (For the period July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, for a family of four, 130 percent of the poverty level was $\$ 29,965$, and 185 percent was $\$ 42,643$.) Additional information on eligibility may be found at the U.S. Department of Agriculture website at http://www.fns.usda.gov/cnd/lunch/.

Type of Location: Results for four mutually exclusive categories of school location are also reported: city, suburb, town, and rural. The categories are based on standard definitions established by the Federal Office of Management and Budget using population and geographic information from the U.S. Census Bureau. Schools are assigned to these categories in the NCES Common Core of Data based on their physical address. The classification system was revised for 2007; therefore, trend comparisons to previous years are not available. The new locale codes are based on an address's proximity to an urbanized area (a densely settled core with densely settled surrounding areas). This is a change from the original system based on metropolitan statistical areas. To distinguish the two systems, the new system is referred to as "urban-centric locale codes."

Parental Education: Eighth- and twelfth-graders were asked the following two questions, the responses to which were combined to derive the parental education variable:

How far in school did your mother go?
\& She did not finish high school.
¿ She graduated from high school.
¿ She had some education after high school.
¿ She graduated from college.
¿ I don't know.
How far in school did your father go?
\& He did not finish high school.
¿ He graduated from high school.
¿ He had some education after high school.
: He graduated from college.
¿ I don't know.

The information was combined into one parental-education reporting variable in the following way:
¿ If a student indicated the extent of education for only one parent, that level was included in the data. If a student indicated the extent of education for both parents, the higher of the two levels was included in the data.
¿ If a student responded "I don't know" for both parents, or responded "I don't know" for one parent and did not respond for the other, the parental education level was classified as "I don't know."
¿ If the student did not respond for either parent, the student was recorded as having provided no response.
Because fourth-graders' responses to the questions tend to be highly variable, the questions are not presented to students at grade 4.

Region of the Country: Prior to 2003, NAEP results were reported for four NAEP-defined regions of the nation: Northeast, Southeast, Central, and West. To align NAEP with other federal data collections, NAEP analysis and reports have used the U.S. Census Bureau's definition of "region" beginning in 2003. The four regions defined by the U.S. Census Bureau are Northeast, South, Midwest, and West. Therefore, trend data by region are not provided for assessment years prior to 2003.

Figure A-1 shows how states are subdivided into these census regions. All 50 states and the District of Columbia are listed. Other jurisdictions, including the Department of Defense Education Activity schools, are not assigned to any region.

Figure A-1. States within regions of the country defined by the U.S. Census Bureau

| Northeast | South | Midwest | West |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Connecticut | Alabama | Illinois | Alaska |
| Maine | Arkansas | Indiana | Arizona |
| Massachusetts | Delaware | lowa | California |
| New Hampshire | District of Columbia | Kansas | Colorado |
| New Jersey | Florida | Michigan | Hawaii |
| New York | Georgia | Minnesota | Idaho |
| Pennsylvania | Kentucky | Missouri | Montana |
| Rhode Island | Louisiana | Nebraska | Nevada |
| Vermont | Maryland | North Dakota | New Mexico |
|  | Mississippi | Ohio | Oregon |
|  | North Carolina | South Dakota | Wisconsin |
|  | Oklahoma |  | Washington |
|  | South Carolina |  | Wyoming |
|  | Tennessee |  |  |
|  | Texas | Virginia |  |
|  | West Virginia |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Caution in Interpretations

As previously stated, the NAEP reading scale makes it possible to examine relationships between students' performance and various background factors that NAEP measures. However, the relationship between achievement and another variable does not reveal its underlying cause, which may be influenced by a number of other variables. Similarly, the assessments do not reflect the influence of unmeasured variables. The results are most useful when considered in combination with other knowledge about the student population and the educational system, such as trends in instruction, changes in the school-age population, and societal demands and expectations.

Caution in interpretation is also warranted for some small population group estimates. At times in this report, smaller population groups show very large increases or decreases across years in average scores; however, it is necessary to interpret such score changes with extreme caution. The effects of exclusion-rate changes for small student groups may be more marked for small groups than they are for the whole population. In addition, standard errors are often quite large around the score estimates for small groups, which in turn means the standard error around the gain is also large.


[^0]:    See notes at end of table.

[^1]:    See notes at end of table.

[^2]:    See notes at end of table.

[^3]:    See notes at end of table.

[^4]:    See notes at end of table.

[^5]:    See notes at end of table.

[^6]:    See notes at end of table.

[^7]:    See notes at end of table.

[^8]:    See notes at end of table.

[^9]:    See notes at end of table.

[^10]:    See notes at end of table.

[^11]:    See notes at end of table.
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[^13]:    See notes at end of table

[^14]:    See notes at end of table.

[^15]:    See notes at end of table.

[^16]:    — Not available.

[^17]:    — Not available.

