Transforming Education in Rhode Island The Race to the Top Opportunity

East Greenwich Race to the Top Implementation Update: School Year 2012-2013 (Year 3)

The Annual Stocktaking provides both the state and the Local Education Agency (LEA) with an opportunity to review LEA accomplishments and challenges over the course of the Race to the Top (RTT) grant implementation period (i.e., from September 2010 to date). In this summary, RIDE has included relevant LEA-reported data as well as other evidence sources (e.g., training participation) for the purposes of reviewing programmatic successes. The contents of this report were developed under a Race to the Top grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

This report also includes areas that LEAs self-identified as challenges in their ability to meet Race to the Top commitments. Additionally, Appendix A provides a summary of LEA progress against the student achievement targets set at the start of the grant.

LEA Self-Assessment of Year Three Performance

The table below shows East Greenwich self-reported status against the Year 3 Race to the Top projects. During Year 3, East Greenwich has fully participated in the following projects: Model Curriculum and Intensive Curriculum Alignment, Formative Assessment professional development, the Instructional Management System, Educator Evaluation, and New Teacher Induction.

In addition, East Greenwich has begun planning for expansion of these initiatives in Year 4 as well as the implementation of the Interim Assessments.

CURRICUL ALIGNME				MENT		Α	INT	ERIM	TS		STRUG ANAG SYS			D	ATA	USE P	D		EDU(EVALI				EW T INDU		
Q1 Q2 Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4

ON-TRACK	The majority of tasks from the prior quarter are complete, and tasks from the current quarter are on-track to be completed.
DELAYED	Some tasks from the prior quarter are complete, but others have yet to be completed, and/or the district may have difficulty completing
	the current tasks in the anticipated time frame.
OFF TRACK	The majority of tasks anticipated to date have not been completed and/or the LEA is having significant challenges with some tasks.
NOT APPLICABLE	The district elected not to participate in this project during the 2012-2013 school year.

Performance Management Participation

As you know, participation in the Collaborative Learning for Outcomes (CLO) process and the submission of the corresponding quarterly progress report is our method for monitoring LEA progress against implementing RTT. More importantly, though, we believe that quality implementation of RTT is best supported through peer-to-peer sharing and that the CLO meetings provide LEAs with an opportunity to gain insights on how to address specific challenges of capacity and practice.

During the 2012-2013 year, East Greenwich continued to send a diverse group of participants to the CLO meetings, representing various levels of LEA and building leadership. The team consistently enriched the CLO discussions by sharing their experiences, learnings, questions, and concerns with the group. Additionally, we appreciate that the quarterly progress reports were submitted on time and contained rich information about the important progress that the district made throughout the year.

As we near the end of the grant, we hope that East Greenwich continues to participate at this exemplary level. We are looking forward to rich and meaningful conversation around implementation accomplishments and challenges, as well as the changes that LEAs will sustain beyond the grant period. With that in mind, we encourage you to be an active voice in shaping the focus of these meetings during Year 4.

System of Support 1: Standards and Curriculum

Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by East Greenwich, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district as 'on track (green),' 'delayed (yellow),' 'off track/at risk (red)', or 'not applicable (grey) on each of the Year 3 System of Support 1 scope of work tasks for Race to the Top. This assessment is reflected in the table below. Please note that further description of these statuses can be found on page one of this report.

RIDE would like to commend East Greenwich on its efforts to expand and deepen educator and administrator knowledge of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). In its progress reports, East Greenwich noted that they have continued to communicate clear expectations for school-level transition benchmarks, and that the district has a strong plan for developing and implementing an aligned K-12 curriculum for September 2013. To support this plan, the district utilized digital resources and web 2.0 tools. They also worked to deepen this understanding during collaborative meetings (e.g., common planning time, grade-level meetings) and district-wide formal professional development. East Greenwich also participated in supplemental professional development offered by RIDE, focused on increasing understanding of key transition aspects such as text complexity and text dependent questions.

During the 2012-2013 school year, East Greenwich continued to work in partnership with RIDE, the Dana Center, and other districts to create and implement an aligned curriculum. While the district noted that this work slowed due to a shift in the district point-person and facilitator, we commend East Greenwich for continuing to move forward despite this challenge and in light of the many additional initiatives that the district took on during Year 3.

Looking ahead, we are excited to hearing more about the ways in which East Greenwich has integrated the many initiatives in support of their transition to a common core aligned curriculum.

Intensive Curriculum Alignment and Model Curriculum Development				
intensive Curriculum Anglinnent and Model Curriculum Development	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Develop and communicate a multi-year Transition Plan for the Common Core State Standards implementation, including clear expectations for school level transition benchmarks and a plan for developing a curriculum aligned to the CCSS in grades K-12	х	Modify as needed		Modify as needed
Identify opportunities for educators to work collaboratively to deepen understanding of CCSS (e.g. Common Planning Time, grade level team, department meetings, faculty meetings)	х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed
Create implementation plan, including the identification of aligned resources, to support roll out of new curricula	х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed
Develop curriculum aligned to the Common Core State Standards, including participation in Dana Center curriculum writing and leadership sessions (if applicable)	х	х	Х	Х

^{*}Please note: the 'x' in the above table represents the anticipated completion timeline set by RIDE, not when the district completed the task.

System of Support 2: Instructional Improvement Systems

During the 2012-2013 school year, East Greenwich chose to implement three of the four Race to the Top projects in System of Support 2 (the Instructional Management System, Data Use professional development, and the formative assessment professional development modules). Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by East Greenwich, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for those applicable projects.

During the 2012-2013 school year, teams of educators from East Greenwich participated in the Data Use professional development series. In the quarterly progress update reports, the district expressed that the teams found this training helpful and that principals throughout the district were embedding research-based data use strategies into existing activities in the schools. Additionally, the district noted that those involved embraced the practices, and were able to apply that knowledge towards planning, instruction, assessments, and supports.

East Greenwich faced some initial challenges around submitting teacher-course-student data following the conversion to a new Student Information System (SIS). The difficulties delayed the district's configuration of the Instructional Management System, and therefore impacted their ability to administer the first fixed-form interim assessment and delayed the roll out the formative assessment professional development modules. We are pleased to note that, following the resolution of these issues, East Greenwich educators were able to move forward with a multi-year implementation approach towards the formative assessment modules. During the 2012-2013 school year, the district used a few schools to model the work for others in a train-the-trainer approach. The district acknowledged in their quarterly progress update reports that, while educators engaged in communities of practice around this initiative, they needed to do more to communicate it more broadly and to build capacity in the area of formative assessment.

RIDE would like to commend East Greenwich for the work that they have done to overcome the initial data challenges they faced; in the 2013-2014 school year we hope that East Greenwich will be able to build upon the foundational knowledge and training they completed last year, and will be able to implement these initiatives with greater success.

In the upcoming CLO sessions, we look forward to hearing about the East Greenwich's plans for implementing new initiatives, or deepening engagement in initiatives implemented during the 2012-2013 school year. Additionally, looking ahead towards the PARCC assessment, we hope to hear more from districts about how these initiatives are supporting their transition to the common core.

'Data Use' Professional Development				
vata use Fruiessional Development		Q2	Q3	Q4
In coordination with RIDE, select 'Data Use' training dates for each cohort of schools, as applicable				Year 2
Identify and provide RIDE with the leadership team members from each school who will participate in the Year 1 and/or Year 2 training cohorts, as applicable				Year 2
Following 'Data Use' professional development, identify district and school practices to sustain and deepen data use and collaboration	х	х	х	Х

^{*} Please note that, for this project, 'year 1' refers to cohort 1 taking place during the 2012-2013 school year, and 'Year 2' refers to cohort 2 taking place during the 2013-2014 school year.

Formative Assessment Professional Development Medules (assessed via the Instructional Management Custom)				
formative Assessment Professional Development Modules (accessed via the Instructional Management System)		Q2	Q3	Q4
Identify facilitators who will support the implementation of formative assessment practices in daily instruction				X
Coordinate participation of educators in training modules and communities of practice	Х	Х	Х	Х

Interim Assessments (assessed via the Instructional Management Costons)		Year 3:SY12-13				
Interim Assessments (accessed via the Instructional Management System)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Develop protocols or expectations regarding the use of interim assessment to inform instruction including timelines for administration and process for scoring and reporting results	х					
Send LEA-determined facilitators to RIDE provided training on both the Fixed-Form assessment tool and the test-building tool	Fixed Form	Test Builder				
Train of educators in the LEA on the administration and use of interim assessments utilizing RIDE-trained facilitators	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Administration of Interim Assessments in selected grades and content area(s)	1 st Fixed Form Test	2 nd Fixed Form Test	3 rd Fixed Form Test			

Instructional Management Custom (IMC)		Y12-13		
Instructional Management System (IMS)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Designate an LEA data steward to support decision making around data collections and systems implementation and to provide input and feedback on data initiatives through designated representatives	As needed	As needed	As needed	As needed
Maintain data quality standards of local student information systems and upload local assessment data and program information as required by RIDE in a timely manner	х	×	×	х
Following RIDE training, LEA Administrative Users and LEA Trainers configure the IMS for educator use and to provide end users with access and training needed to utilize the IMS for daily activities	х	×	×	х
Deepen the understanding and use of the IMS among all educators	Х	Х	Х	Х

System of Support 3: Educator Effectiveness

During the 2012-2013 school year, East Greenwich fully implemented all components of System of Support 3 - the Rhode Island model for teacher and building administrator evaluations; and final effectiveness ratings for all teachers and building administrators have been submitted to RIDE using the Educator Performance and Support System. Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by East Greenwich, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for Educator Evaluation.

East Greenwich noted in their quarterly progress update reports that implementation of the educator evaluation system with fidelity remained a central focus of district and building-level work during this past school year. To support the educator evaluation implementation process, East Greenwich utilized their intermediary service provider (ISP) to support building-level implementation, as well as the establishment of a District Evaluation Committee. East Greenwich evaluators attended all training modules and participated in supplemental training activities as required. Additionally, East Greenwich created an 'all-in-one' observation and feedback form which allowed them to streamline the evaluation process even further.

Looking ahead, RIDE would like to remind the district of their responsibility to ensure that all personnel responsible for evaluating both teachers and building administrators participate in applicable training activities. And, as we enter into the final year of the Race to the Top grant, RIDE encourages East Greenwich to continue to engage their CLO peers in thinking about continuous support for evaluation implementation, as well as how evaluation data is being used to identify professional development needs.

Educator Evaluation		Year 3	:SY12-13	
Educator Evaluation	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Participate in educator evaluation model design, development and refinement feedback opportunities	Х	Х	Х	Х
Identify District Evaluation Committee members, responsible for monitoring the implementation of the system and providing recommendations to LEA leadership teams				х
Identify individuals who will serve as primary and, if applicable, secondary/complementary evaluators				Х
Send all required evaluators to RIDE-provided evaluator training on model; Send evaluators and system administrators to training on the Educator Performance Support System (EPSS) data system			Mid-year half-day training	Mid-year half-day training
Examine LEA Policies and Contracts for Challenges; where applicable, consider memorandums of understanding or contract renewal language which will support district implementation of evaluations	х	х	х	х
Create a plan for the appropriate use of funds to support implementation of educator evaluation system				Х
Complete required components of RI Model for educator and building administrator evaluations	SLOs and Goals		Midyear Conference	EOY Report & Summative rating
Submit evaluation data and documentation (e.g. component and summative level ratings, verified rosters); provide other requested information to support RIDE research and system improvement	х	х	х	х
Use Evaluation Data to identify individual and school/district-wide professional development needs and act on those needs			Х	Х

System of Support 4: Human Capital Development

During the 2012-2013 school year, East Greenwich participated fully in the Beginning Teacher Induction program. Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by East Greenwich, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for Beginning Teacher Induction. Additionally, East Greenwich has continued their utilization of SchoolSpring for recruitment of staff on an as needed basis.

During the 2012-2013 school year, East Greenwich recommended several potential induction coaches to RIDE and participated in RIDE-facilitated opportunities to learn more about the program. As reported in their quarterly progress update, East Greenwich found the Beginning Teacher Induction program helpful, and the feedback from both teachers and coaches was positive. RIDE was pleased to note that the East Greenwich school committee crafted and passed human capital policy regulations that include induction as one component of a robust and student-centered human capital management system.

In the upcoming CLO sessions, RIDE looks forward to engaging in a deeper conversation around the revisions that East Greenwich and other LEAs have made to their human capital policies in support of these initiatives.

Beginning Teacher Induction		Year 3:SY12-13				
beginning reacher induction	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
If applicable, recommend potential Induction Coaches to RIDE				Х		
Review and revise hiring policies, timelines and processes in order to support appropriate and timely projections for anticipated hires requiring induction coach services			х			
Provide RIDE with list of beginning teachers who will receive Induction Coach support in a timely manner in order to ensure that all beginning teachers have coaching	х			х		
Participate in RIDE-provided information opportunities in order to learn about induction coach program				Х		
Provide feedback to RIDE on the development and integration of existing mentorship programs into a sustainable, instructionally-focused state or district-wide Induction Coach model			Х	Х		

The contents of this report were developed under a Race to the Top grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

Appendix A: Overall District Goals and Performance Measures

The table below contains each district's previously established performance measures. These measures provide the state and district with a baseline from which to monitor progress, be held accountable, and recognize success as we advance toward our goals. Please note: The RTT accountability goals set below are separate and performance against those goals does not have an impact on the state-wide accountability system. Additionally, since setting the goals, the US Department of Education has requested that the state revise its goals on college enrollment and college course completion. Therefore, at this time, we have not updated your progress towards these post-secondary goals. Each district has an opportunity to review their current data and revise the goals to better align with the state goals on these measures.

East Greenwich Performance Measures	2009-		10-		11-		012		13-	2014-
	2010		11)12		013		14	2015
Charles to the faculty and a still be a first to	Actual	Goal								
Students entering the fourth grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP	85%	-	91%	86%	92%	88%	83%	89%		90%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	2	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		1
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	24	-	n/a	22	n/a	20	n/a	19		18
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	45	-	n/a	43	n/a	41	n/a	40		38
Students entering the fourth grade will be proficient in	85%	-	88%	86%	87%	88%	79%	89%		90%
mathematics on NECAP -The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	29	-	n/a	27	n/a	23	n/a	19		15
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	38	-	n/a	34	n/a	32	n/a	30		28
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	45	-	n/a	43	n/a	41	n/a	40		38
Students entering the eighth grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP	94%	-	91%	95%	93%	95%	95%	95%		96%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	17	-	n/a	14	n/a	11	n/a	9		7
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	41	-	n/a	37	36	35	n/a	33		31
Students entering the eighth grade will be proficient in mathematics on NECAP	84%	-	79%	85%	87%	87%	81%	88%		90%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	44	-	n/a	41	n/a	38	n/a	36		34
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	57	-	n/a	55	39	52	n/a	53		52
85% of students who first entered 9th grade 4 years prior will graduate from high school	94%	-	n/a	95%	95%	95%	94%	95%		96%
77% of students who graduate from high school will enroll in an institution of higher education (IHE) within 16 months of receiving a diploma	84%	-	n/a	85%	n/a	85%	n/a	85%		86%
70% of high school students who enroll in an institution of higher education within 16 months of graduation will at least one year's worth of credit within two years of enrollment in the IHE	94%	-	n/a	95%	n/a	95%	n/a	95%		96%

^{*} n/a indicates that the data is not available, either because the n size is below 20 or because there are no students in that category. Additionally, a hyphen (-) indicates that the LEA did not set a goal for the applicable performance measure.