Transforming Education in Rhode Island The Race to the Top Opportunity

North Providence Race to the Top Implementation Update: School Year 2012-2013 (Year 3)

The Annual Stocktaking provides both the state and the Local Education Agency (LEA) with an opportunity to review LEA accomplishments and challenges over the course of the Race to the Top (RTT) grant implementation period (i.e., from September 2010 to date). In this summary, RIDE has included relevant LEA-reported data as well as other evidence sources (e.g., training participation) for the purposes of reviewing programmatic successes. The contents of this report were developed under a Race to the Top grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

This report also includes areas that LEAs self-identified as challenges in their ability to meet Race to the Top commitments. Additionally, Appendix A provides a summary of LEA progress against the student achievement targets set at the start of the grant.

LEA Self-Assessment of Year Three Performance

The table below shows North Providence's self-reported status against the Year 3 Race to the Top projects. During Year 3, North Providence has participated in the following projects: Model Curriculum and Intensive Curriculum Alignment, Formative Assessment professional development, Interim Assessments, the Instructional Management System, Data Use professional development, Educator Evaluation, and New Teacher Induction.

In addition, North Providence has begun planning for deepening engagement in the initiatives taken on during Year 3.

CURRICULUM ALIGNMENT	FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT PD	INTERIM ASSESSMENTS	INSTRUCTIONAL DATA USE P MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	PD EDUCATOR NEW TEACHER INDUCTION
Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3	Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4

ON-TRACK	The majority of tasks from the prior quarter are complete, and tasks from the current quarter are on-track to be completed.
DELAYED	Some tasks from the prior quarter are complete, but others have yet to be completed, and/or the district may have difficulty completing
	the current tasks in the anticipated time frame.
OFF TRACK	The majority of tasks anticipated to date have not been completed and/or the LEA is having significant challenges with some tasks.
NOT APPLICABLE	The district elected not to participate in this project during the 2012-2013 school year.

Summary of Performance Management Participation

As you know, participation in the Collaborative Learning for Outcomes (CLO) process and the submission of the corresponding quarterly progress report is our method for monitoring LEA progress against implementing RTT. More importantly, though, we believe that quality implementation of RTT is best supported through peer-to-peer sharing and that the CLO meetings provide LEAs with an opportunity to gain insights on how to address specific challenges of capacity and practice.

During the 2012-2013 year, North Providence met the bar for participation in all four quarterly CLO meetings. All quarterly progress reports were submitted on time, and North Providence sent consistent participants to the quarterly meetings, representing appropriate levels of LEA leadership. We were pleased that the superintendent and the newly appointed assistant superintendent participated in CLO meetings.

In the upcoming year, we are looking forward to continuing our meaningful conversations around the implementation accomplishments and challenges faced by each LEA, as well as discussing how LEAs are building the necessary capacity to sustain this work beyond Race to the Top. With that in mind, we would encourage you to continue sharing the tools and strategies you are using in your district and have made suggestions in this report regarding areas that we would appreciate hearing about in greater detail from you and other LEA leaders.

System of Support 1: Standards and Curriculum

Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by North Providence, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district as 'on track (green),' 'delayed (yellow),' 'off track/at risk (red)', or 'not applicable (grey) on each of the Year 3 System of Support 1 scope of work tasks for Race to the Top. This assessment is reflected in the table below. Please note that further description of these statuses can be found on page one of this report.

RIDE would like to commend North Providence on its efforts to expand and deepen educator and administrator knowledge of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). In its progress reports, North Providence noted that K-6 math and English language arts (ELA) collaborative groups met during the 2012-2013 school year to discuss the CCSS aligned units of study. Additionally, the district participated in supplemental RIDE professional development workshops focused on key instructional shifts, such as academic vocabulary and text dependent questions. North Providence also organized similar professional development workshops for summer 2013.

North Providence has made progress against developing a guaranteed and viable curriculum aligned to the new Common Core State Standards. During the 2012-2013 school year, North Providence continued to work on their math and ELA K-12 scope and sequence and, during the 2012-2013 school year, began piloting resources aligned to the curriculum. The district also began work on their science and social studies curricula. In their quarterly progress update report, the North Providence indicated that their curriculum work will continue through the summer.

In their quarterly progress update report, North Providence noted that ensuring fidelity to the new curriculum by classroom teachers, as well as monitoring the implementation of new curriculum in the building, continued to be a struggle. We look forward to hearing more about how North Providence has made progress against this challenge in the upcoming school year, as well as how the district has built educator awareness regarding their mathematics curriculum.

Intensive Curriculum Alignment and Model Curriculum Development		Year 3:SY12-13				
intensive Curriculum Angliment and Model Curriculum Development	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Develop and communicate a multi-year Transition Plan for the Common Core State Standards implementation, including clear expectations for school level transition benchmarks and a plan for developing a curriculum aligned to the CCSS in grades K-12	Х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed		
Identify opportunities for educators to work collaboratively to deepen understanding of CCSS (e.g. Common Planning Time, grade level team, department meetings, faculty meetings)	х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed		
Create implementation plan, including the identification of aligned resources, to support roll out of new curricula	Х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed		
Develop curriculum aligned to the Common Core State Standards, including participation in Dana Center curriculum writing and leadership sessions (if applicable)	х	х	х	х		

^{*}Please note: the 'x' in the above table represents the anticipated completion timeline set by RIDE, not when the district completed the task. Additionally, for further clarification on the criteria used to select each status, consult the description on page one of this report.

System of Support 2: Instructional Improvement Systems

During the 2012-2013 school year, North Providence chose to implement all of the four Race to the Top projects in System of Support 2 to some degree (the Instructional Management System, Formative Assessment professional development, Interim Assessments, and Data Use professional development). Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by North Providence, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for those projects.

North Providence configured the Instructional Management System (IMS) in order to provide educators with access to the Interim Assessments. Although the district missed the fall 2012 testing window, they were able to administer the fixed-form assessment during the winter and spring testing windows. While they initially planned to test grades 5, 8 and 10 in mathematics, they ultimately only administered it in grades 5 and 8. In addition to the initial configuration, North Providence teacher leaders were trained in the Exceed Rtl and Pinnacle Instruction IMS platforms. A small group of teachers learned how to write curriculum in the IMS, and they created curriculum buckets for all core areas K-12.

During the 2012-2013 school year, all schools from North Providence participated in the Data Use professional development series. In the QPU reports, the district expressed that the elementary cohorts had a positive experience, and that their School Data Leadership Team is now the school intervention team. In the CLO discussions, they noted that the SDLT worked together to align student learning objectives to their data. Although initially unenthusiastic about the professional development, by the end of the series the cohorts saw the purpose and started getting excited.

In their quarterly progress update report, North Providence indicated that they plan to implement the formative assessment professional development modules in the 2013-2014 school year.

In the upcoming CLO sessions, we look forward to hearing about the North Providence's plans for implementing new initiatives, or deepening engagement in initiatives implemented during the 2012-2013 school year. Additionally, looking ahead towards the PARCC assessment, we hope to hear more from districts about how these initiatives are supporting their transition to the common core.

Interim Assessments (accessed via the Instructional Management System)		Year 3:SY12-13				
		Q2	Q3	Q4		
Develop protocols or expectations regarding the use of interim assessment to inform instruction including timelines for administration and process for scoring and reporting results	х					
Send LEA-determined facilitators to RIDE provided training on both the Fixed-Form assessment tool and the test-building tool		Test Builder				
Train of educators in the LEA on the administration and use of interim assessments utilizing RIDE-trained facilitators	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Administration of Interim Assessments in selected grades and content area(s)	1 st Fixed Form Test		3 rd Fixed Form Test			

nstructional Management System (IMS)		Year 3:SY12-13				
		Q2	Q3	Q4		
Designate an LEA data steward to support decision making around data collections and systems implementation and to provide input and feedback on data initiatives through designated representatives	As needed	As needed	As needed	As needed		
Maintain data quality standards of local student information systems and upload local assessment data and program information as required by RIDE in a timely manner	x	х	Х	х		
Following RIDE training, LEA Administrative Users and LEA Trainers configure the IMS for educator use and to provide end users with access and training needed to utilize the IMS for daily activities	х	х	Х	х		
Deepen the understanding and use of the IMS among all educators	Х	х	Х	Х		

'Data Use' Professional Development		Year 3:SY12-13				
		Q2	Q3	Q4		
In coordination with RIDE, select 'Data Use' training dates for each cohort of schools, as applicable				Year 2		
Identify and provide RIDE with the leadership team members from each school who will participate in Year 2 training cohorts, as applicable				Year 2		
Following 'Data Use' professional development, identify district and school practices to sustain and deepen data use and collaboration	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1		

^{*} Please note that, for this project, 'year 1' refers to cohort 1 taking place during the 2012-2013 school year, and 'Year 2' refers to cohort 2 taking place during the 2013-2014 school year.

Formative Assessment Professional Development Modules (accessed via the Instructional Management System)		Year 3:SY12-13					
		Q2	Q3	Q4			
Identify facilitators who will support the implementation of formative assessment practices in daily instruction				х			
Coordinate participation of educators in training modules and communities of practice	Х	Х	Х	Х			

System of Support 3: Educator Effectiveness

During the 2012-2013 school year, North Providence fully implemented all components of System of Support 3 – the Rhode Island model for teacher and building administrator evaluations; and final effectiveness ratings for all teachers and building administrators have been submitted to RIDE. Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by North Providence, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for Educator Evaluation.

To support the educator evaluation implementation process, North Providence created a District Evaluation Committee (DEC) which included their Intermediary Service Provider (ISP). The DEC created a timeline and protocols for the evaluation process, including sub-committees for professional issues and teacher support. RIDE would like to congratulate the district on their efforts to develop a list of supports available to teachers who receive a 'developing' or 'ineffective' final evaluation rating.

During the 2012-2013 school year, North Providence participated in all required training activities. Additionally, designated evaluators have registered for/attended upcoming professional development offered by RIDE in summer 2013 as needed. Looking ahead, RIDE would like to remind the district of their responsibility to ensure that all personnel responsible for evaluating both teachers and building administrators participate in applicable training activities.

As we enter into the final year of the Race to the Top grant, RIDE encourages North Providence to continue to engage their CLO peers in thinking about continuous support for evaluation implementation, as well as how evaluation data is being used to identify professional development needs. We also hope to hear more about the Teacher Evaluation Support team that North Providence referenced in the quarterly progress update reports.

Educator Evaluation		Year 3:SY12-13			
Educator Evaluation	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Participate in educator evaluation model design, development and refinement feedback opportunities	Х	Х	Х	Х	
ldentify District Evaluation Committee members, responsible for monitoring the implementation of the system and providing recommendations to LEA leadership teams				х	
Identify individuals who will serve as primary and, if applicable, secondary/complementary evaluators				Х	
Send all required evaluators to RIDE-provided evaluator training on model; Send evaluators and system administrators to training on the Educator Performance Support System (EPSS) data system			Mid-year half-day training	Mid-year half-day training	
Examine LEA Policies and Contracts for Challenges; where applicable, consider memorandums of understanding or contract renewal language which will support district implementation of evaluations		х	х	х	
Create a plan for the appropriate use of funds to support implementation of educator evaluation system				Х	
Complete required components of RI Model for educator and building administrator evaluations	SLOs and Goals	,	Midyear Conference	EOY Report & Summative rating	
Submit evaluation data and documentation (e.g. component and summative level ratings, verified rosters); provide other requested information to support RIDE research and system improvement	х	х	Х	х	
Use Evaluation Data to identify individual and school/district-wide professional development needs and act on those needs			Х	Х	

System of Support 4: Human Capital Development

During the 2012-2013 school year, North Providence participated fully in the Beginning Teacher Induction program. Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by North Providence, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for Beginning Teacher Induction. Additionally, North Providence has continued their utilization of SchoolSpring for recruitment of staff on an as needed basis.

All new teachers in North Providence received induction support during the 2012-2013 school year. In their quarterly progress update report, North Providence noted that the program was going well, and that the feedback from both teachers and administrators was positive. In its quarterly progress reports, North Providence did not note any challenges around this work.

In the upcoming CLO sessions, RIDE looks forward to engaging in a deeper conversation around the revisions that North Providence and other LEAs have made to their hiring policies, timelines, and processes in order to support broader human capital initiatives including recruitment of highly qualified and diverse candidates and providing data-driven induction support to beginning teachers.

Danissian Tanahas Industina	Year 3:SY12-13				
Beginning Teacher Induction		Q2	Q3	Q4	
If applicable, recommend potential Induction Coaches to RIDE				х	
Review and revise hiring policies, timelines and processes in order to support appropriate and timely projections for anticipated hires requiring induction coach services			х		
Provide RIDE with list of beginning teachers who will receive Induction Coach support in a timely manner in order to ensure that all beginning teachers have coaching				х	
Participate in RIDE-provided information opportunities in order to learn about induction coach program				Х	
Provide feedback to RIDE on the development and integration of existing mentorship programs into a sustainable, instructionally-focused state or district-wide Induction Coach model			Х	Х	

The contents of this report were developed under a Race to the Top grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

Appendix A: Overall District Goals and Performance Measures

The table below contains each district's previously established performance measures. These measures provide the state and district with a baseline from which to monitor progress, be held accountable, and recognize success as we advance toward our goals. Please note: The RTT accountability goals set below are separate and performance against those goals does not have an impact on the state-wide accountability system. Additionally, since setting the goals, the US Department of Education has requested that the state revise its goals on college enrollment and college course completion. Therefore, at this time, we have not updated your progress towards these post-secondary goals. Each district has an opportunity to review their current data and revise the goals to better align with the state goals on these measures.

North Providence Performance Measures	2009- 2010		010- 011)11-)12				013- 014	2014 2015
	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal
Students entering the fourth grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP	69%	72%	68%	75%	73%	78%	74%	81%		84%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	-11	-10	n/a*	-10	n/a	-10	n/a	-10		-10
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	2	1	-3	1	15	1	21	1		1
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	-5	-5	8	-5	13	-5	16	-5		-5
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	46	43	28	40	26	38	35	36		35
Students entering the fourth grade will be proficient in mathematics on NECAP	62%	64%	64%	69%	64%	76%	65%	83%		90%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	8	7	n/a	6	n/a	6	n/a	5		4
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	21	18	10	15	16	14	8	12		10.5
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	10	9	11	8	9	7	12	6		5
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	24	21	33	18	26	15	44	13		12
Students entering the eighth grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP	65%	72%	78%	75%	76%	78%	82%	81%		84%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	14	12	-2	10	n/a	9	11	8		7
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	16	12	-3	11	9	10	11	8		7
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	14	12	11	10	2	8	14	7.5		7
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	46	43	35	36	27	30	53	25		23
Students entering the eighth grade will be proficient in mathematics on NECAP	34%	37%	42%	42%	49%	48%	47%	53%		60%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	-4	-4	8	-4	n/a	-4	14	-4		-4
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	19	17	16	14	17	12	3	10		9.5
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	11	8	7.3	7	-2	6	20	6		5.5
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	32	30	24	28	35	26	43	21		16
85% of students who first entered 9th grade 4 years prior	010/	000/	n/-	050/	020/	070/	070/	900/		00%
will graduate from high school	81%	86%	n/a	85%	93%	87%	87%	89%		90%
77% of students who graduate from high school will enroll in an institution of higher education (IHE) within 16 months of receiving a diploma	74%	75%	74%	75.5%	73%	76%	n/a	76.5%		77%
70% of high school students who enroll in an IHE within 16 months of graduation will at least one year's worth of credit within two years of enrollment in the IHE	76%	78%	62%	80%	n/a	84%	n/a	88%		90%

^{*} n/a indicates that the data is not available, either because the n size is below 20 or because there are no students in that category. Additionally, a hyphen (-) indicates that the LEA did not set a goal for the applicable performance measure.