# Transforming Education in Rhode Island The Race to the Top Opportunity

# The Greene School Race to the Top Implementation Update: School Year 2012-2013 (Year 3)

The Annual Stocktaking provides both the state and the Local Education Agency (LEA) with an opportunity to review LEA accomplishments and challenges over the course of the Race to the Top (RTT) grant implementation period (i.e., from September 2010 to date). In this summary, RIDE has included relevant LEA-reported data as well as other evidence sources (e.g., training participation) for the purposes of reviewing programmatic successes. The contents of this report were developed under a Race to the Top grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

This report also includes areas that LEAs self-identified as challenges in their ability to meet Race to the Top commitments.

### **LEA Self-Assessment of Year Three Performance**

The Green School (Greene) is an involved Race to the Top district, and selected the projects that they intended to implement. The table below shows The Greene School's self-reported status against the Year 3 Race to the Top projects that Greene participated in during Year 3: Model Curriculum and Intensive Curriculum Alignment, Data Use professional development, Educator Evaluation, and New Teacher Induction.

During Year 4, Greene may continue to deepen their engagement in these areas as well as expanding participation to include additional projects.

	CURRICULUM ALIGNMENT					A		ERIM	TS		INSTRUCTIONAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM				DATA USE PD				EDUCATOR EVALUATION				NEW TEACHER INDUCTION							
Q:	1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q	ιQ	2 (	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4

ON-TRACK	The majority of tasks from the prior quarter are complete, and tasks from the current quarter are on-track to be completed.
DELAYED	Some tasks from the prior quarter are complete, but others have yet to be completed, and/or the district may have difficulty completing
	the current tasks in the anticipated time frame.
OFF TRACK	The majority of tasks anticipated to date have not been completed and/or the LEA is having significant challenges with some tasks.
NOT APPLICABLE	The district elected not to participate in this project during the 2012-2013 school year.

### **Performance Management Participation**

Participation in the Collaborative Learning for Outcomes (CLO) process and the submission of the corresponding quarterly progress update reports is our method for monitoring LEA progress against Race to the Top. Beyond progress monitoring, though, we believe that quality implementation of RTT is best supported through peer-to-peer sharing and that the CLO meetings provide LEAs with an opportunity to gain insights on how to address specific challenges of capacity and practice. As an 'Involved' LEA, Greene's participation in the quarterly meetings is voluntary; we are pleased that Greene has found the meetings helpful to their implementation, and that the Head of School attended all four meetings during Year 3.

As we near the end of the grant, we hope that Greene continues to participate at this exemplary level. We are looking forward to rich and meaningful conversation around implementation accomplishments and challenges, as well as the changes that LEAs will sustain beyond the grant period. With that in mind, we encourage you to be an active voice in shaping the focus of these meetings during Year 4.

Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Greene, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district as 'on track (green),' 'delayed (yellow),' 'off track/at risk (red)', or 'not applicable (grey) on each of the Year 3 System of Support 1 scope of work tasks for Race to the Top. This assessment is reflected in the table below. Please note that further description of these statuses can be found on page one of this report.

Greene made significant progress in the 2012-2013 school year towards deepening educator understanding of the new Common Core State Standards (CCSS). In their quarterly progress update reports, Greene noted that teachers implemented a new workshop model that aligns to the CCSS at the high school level for both ELA and mathematics. This, along with professional development for both teachers and administrators, helped all staff feel more comfortable working with the standards. Expeditionary Learning partnered with the school to convert the CCSS in ELA and mathematics into specific learning targets. Additionally, science and social studies teachers are working with the CCSS to help support the standards in their classrooms

At the conclusion of the school year, Greene noted that while they have been aligning unit planners and lessons to the CCSS, they lacked a written implementation plan. The school indicated that they hoped to develop a more concrete plan during summer 2013 in preparation for the 2013-2014 school year.

We are happy to hear that Greene has found valuable expertise to help move this work forward, and look forward to hearing about the success that the school has in communicating and implementing a transition plan. We encourage Greene to continue to reach out to their Collaborative Learning for Outcomes partners for further collaboration as appropriate.

tensive Curriculum Alignment and Model Curriculum Development		Year 3:SY12-13				
intensive curriculum Angriment and Model curriculum Development	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Develop and communicate a multi-year Transition Plan for the Common Core State Standards implementation, including clear expectations for school level transition benchmarks and a plan for developing a curriculum aligned to the CCSS in grades K-12	x	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed		
Identify opportunities for educators to work collaboratively to deepen understanding of CCSS (e.g. Common Planning Time, grade level team, department meetings, faculty meetings)	х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed		
Create implementation plan, including the identification of aligned resources, to support roll out of new curricula	х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed			
Develop curriculum aligned to the Common Core State Standards, including participation in Dana Center curriculum writing and leadership sessions (if applicable)	x	×	x	x		

<sup>\*</sup>Please note: the 'x' in the above table represents the anticipated completion timeline set by RIDE, not when the district completed the task. Additionally, for further clarification on the criteria used to select each status, consult the description on page one of this report.

# **System of Support 2: Instructional Improvement Systems**

During the 2012-2013 school year, Greene chose to implement one of the four Race to the Top projects in System of Support 2 (Data Use Professional Development). Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Greene, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for the applicable projects.

As an involved district, Greene determined which of the Race to the Top projects would best align to their student needs in the 2012-2013 school year. The Greene School worked with RIDE to assign course codes and to submit teacher-course-student data, which was an integral part to ensuring that they were well poised to expand implementation of the Interim Assessments and Formative Assessment professional development in the 2013-2014 school year.

Additionally, a school data leadership team from The Greene School participated in the Data Use professional development series. In the QPU reports, the district expressed that the teams found this training helpful, and that it has helped with the development of Student Learning Objectives, and using data to drive instruction.

In the upcoming CLO sessions, we look forward to hearing about the Greene's plans for implementing new initiatives, or deepening engagement in initiatives implemented during the 2012-2013 school year. Additionally, looking ahead towards the PARCC assessment, we hope to hear more from districts about how these initiatives are supporting their transition to the Common Core State Standards.

Interim Assessments (assessed via the Instructional Management Costons)				
Interim Assessments (accessed via the Instructional Management System)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Develop protocols or expectations regarding the use of interim assessment to inform instruction including timelines for administration and process for scoring and reporting results	х			
Send LEA-determined facilitators to RIDE provided training on both the Fixed-Form assessment tool and the test-building tool	Fixed Form	Test Builder		
Train of educators in the LEA on the administration and use of interim assessments utilizing RIDE-trained facilitators	х	Х	Х	Х
Administration of Interim Assessments in selected grades and content area(s)	1 <sup>st</sup> Fixed Form Test	2 <sup>nd</sup> Fixed Form Test	3 <sup>rd</sup> Fixed Form Test	

rustional Management System (IMS)		Year 3:SY12-13				
Instructional Management System (IMS)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Designate an LEA data steward to support decision making around data collections and systems implementation and to provide input and feedback on data initiatives through designated representatives	As needed	As needed	As needed	As needed		
Maintain data quality standards of local student information systems and upload local assessment data and program information as required by RIDE in a timely manner	Х	×	х	х		
Following RIDE training, LEA Administrative Users and LEA Trainers configure the IMS for educator use and to provide end users with access and training needed to utilize the IMS for daily activities	Х	x	х	х		
Deepen the understanding and use of the IMS among all educators	Х	Х	Х	Х		

'Data Use' Professional Development		Year 3:S	Y12-13	
Data ose Professional Development	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
In coordination with RIDE, select 'Data Use' training dates for each cohort of schools, as applicable				Year 2
Identify and provide RIDE with the leadership team members from each school who will participate in Year 2 training cohorts, as applicable				Year 2
Following 'Data Use' professional development, identify district and school practices to sustain and deepen data use and collaboration	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1

<sup>\*</sup> Please note that, for this project, 'year 1' refers to cohort 1 taking place during the 2012-2013 school year, and 'Year 2' refers to cohort 2 taking place during the 2013-2014 school year.

Formative Assessment Professional Development Medules (assessed via the Instructional Measurement Custom)				
Formative Assessment Professional Development Modules (accessed via the Instructional Management System)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Identify facilitators who will support the implementation of formative assessment practices in daily instruction				SY13-14
Coordinate participation of educators in training modules and communities of practice	SY12-13	SY12-13	SY12-13	SY13-14

# **System of Support 3: Educator Effectiveness**

During the 2012-2013 school year, Greene fully implemented all components of System of Support 3 - the Rhode Island model for teacher and building administrator evaluations; and final effectiveness ratings for all teachers have been submitted to RIDE. Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Greene, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for Educator Evaluation.

During the 2012-2013 school year, all applicable Greene administrators attended teacher and building administrator evaluator trainings. In their quarterly progress update reports, the school noted that they found the process easy to implement due to the alignment between the model and their previously administered evaluation process.

RIDE is also pleased to note that Greene teachers and evaluators participated in supplemental activities, such as Student Learning Objective workshops and the FFTPS calibration videos. We hope that participants found these supports helpful in increasing comfort with the evaluation process. Additionally, Greene administrators registered and/or attended applicable summer 2013 training. Looking ahead, RIDE would like to remind the district of their responsibility to ensure that all personnel responsible for evaluating both teachers and building administrators participate in applicable training activities.

As we enter into the final year of the Race to the Top grant, RIDE encourages Greene to continue to engage their CLO peers in thinking about continuous support for evaluation implementation, as well as how evaluation data is being used to identify professional development needs.

Educator Evaluation		Year 3	:SY12-13	
educator evaluation	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Participate in educator evaluation model design, development and refinement feedback opportunities	Х	Х	Х	Х
Identify District Evaluation Committee members, responsible for monitoring the implementation of the system and providing recommendations to LEA leadership teams				х
ldentify individuals who will serve as primary and, if applicable, secondary/complementary evaluators				Х
Send all required evaluators to RIDE-provided evaluator training on model; Send evaluators and system administrators to training on the Educator Performance Support System (EPSS) data system			Mid-year half-day training	Mid-year half-day training
Examine LEA Policies and Contracts for Challenges; where applicable, consider memorandums of understanding or contract renewal language which will support district implementation of evaluations	х	х	х	х
Create a plan for the appropriate use of funds to support implementation of educator evaluation system				Х
Complete required components of RI Model for educator and building administrator evaluations	SLOs and Goals	,	Midyear Conference	EOY Report & Summative rating
Submit evaluation data and documentation (e.g. component and summative level ratings, verified rosters); provide other requested information to support RIDE research and system improvement	х	Х	х	х
Use Evaluation Data to identify individual and school/district-wide professional development needs and act on those needs			Х	Х

# **System of Support 4: Human Capital Development**

During the 2012-2013 school year, Greene had no eligible teachers and, therefore, did not participate in the Beginning Teacher Induction program.

In the upcoming CLO sessions, RIDE looks forward to engaging in a deeper conversation around the revisions that Greene and other LEAs have made to their hiring policies, timelines, and processes in order to support broader human capital initiatives including recruitment of highly qualified and diverse candidates and providing data-driven induction support to beginning teachers.

eginning Teacher Induction		Year 3:SY12-13				
beginning reacher induction	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
If applicable, recommend potential Induction Coaches to RIDE				Х		
Review and revise hiring policies, timelines and processes in order to support appropriate and timely projections for anticipated hires requiring induction coach services			x			
Provide RIDE with list of beginning teachers who will receive Induction Coach support in a timely manner in order to ensure that all beginning teachers have coaching	х			х		
Participate in RIDE-provided information opportunities in order to learn about induction coach program				Х		
Provide feedback to RIDE on the development and integration of existing mentorship programs into a sustainable, instructionally-focused state or district-wide Induction Coach model			х	х		

The contents of this report were developed under a Race to the Top grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.