

## Working Group Research Request #2: Stacked ELL and Poverty Weights

### Weight Interaction in Other Formulas

States that stack student weights without adjustment			
State	ELL Weight	Poverty Weight	Total Combined Weight
Arkansas	\$305 per ELL	\$517-\$1,549	Maximum \$1,854
Connecticut	15%	33%	48%
Hawaii	6%-37% by need	10%	16%-47%
Iowa	22%	Annual allocation by need	22%+ allocation
Kansas	39.5%	45.6%	85.1%
Kentucky	9.6%	15%	24.6%
Maine	50%-70% by density	15%	65%-85%
Maryland	~49.5% but varies	~48.5% but varies	~98% by need
Massachusetts	7%-34% by grade	20%	27%-54%
Minnesota	\$700-\$950 by density	100% free- 50% reduced	100%+(\$700-\$950)
Missouri	60% if large population	25% if large population	85%
Nebraska	25%	5%-30%	30%-55%
New Hampshire	\$684.45	\$1,780.63	\$2,465.08
New York	50%	12%	62%
North Dakota	20%-30% by need	2.5%	22.5%-32.5%
Oklahoma	25%	25%	50%
Oregon	50%	25%	75%
South Carolina	20%	Annual allocation by need	20% + allocation
Texas	10%	20%	30%
Vermont	20%	25%	45%
Washington	\$935 per student	Annual allocation by need	\$935 + allocation

States that adjust stacked student weights			
State	ELL Weight	Poverty Weight	Total Combined Weight
Florida	14.7%	Varies by need	Capped at 100%
New Jersey	50%	47%-57% by density	47%-57% + 12.5% if both
Alaska	20%	Block Grant	Do not apply multiple weights
California	20%	20%, 50% if concentrated	20% or 50%, do not apply together, unless high concentration then 70%
Louisiana	22%	22%	22%, do not apply together
Arizona	11.5%	None	11.5%
South Dakota	25%	None	25%