# Transforming Education in Rhode Island The Race to the Top Opportunity

# Portsmouth Race to the Top Implementation Update: School Year 2012-2013 (Year 3)

The Annual Stocktaking provides both the state and the Local Education Agency (LEA) with an opportunity to review LEA accomplishments and challenges over the course of the Race to the Top (RTT) grant implementation period (i.e., from September 2010 to date). In this summary, RIDE has included relevant LEA-reported data as well as other evidence sources (e.g., training participation) for the purposes of reviewing programmatic successes. The contents of this report were developed under a Race to the Top grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

This report also includes areas that LEAs self-identified as challenges in their ability to meet Race to the Top commitments. Additionally, Appendix A provides a summary of LEA progress against the student achievement targets set at the start of the grant.

### **LEA Self-Assessment of Year Three Performance**

The table below shows Portsmouth self-reported status against the Year 3 Race to the Top projects. During Year 3, Portsmouth has fully participated in the following projects: Model Curriculum and Intensive Curriculum Alignment, Data Use professional development, Educator Evaluation, and New Teacher Induction.

In addition, Portsmouth has begun planning for the implementation of the remaining Year 4 projects, as well as deeper engagement in the Year 3 projects.

		URRIGN					MENT		A		ERIM	TS		INSTRUCTIONAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM DATA USE PD EDUCATOR EVALUATION								EACH							
Q	1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	C	1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4

ON-TRACK	The majority of tasks from the prior quarter are complete, and tasks from the current quarter are on-track to be completed.
DELAYED	Some tasks from the prior quarter are complete, but others have yet to be completed, and/or the district may have difficulty completing
	the current tasks in the anticipated time frame.
OFF TRACK	The majority of tasks anticipated to date have not been completed and/or the LEA is having significant challenges with some tasks.
NOT APPLICABLE	The district elected not to participate in this project during the 2012-2013 school year.

### **Performance Management Participation**

As you know, participation in the Collaborative Learning for Outcomes (CLO) process and the submission of the corresponding quarterly progress report is our method for monitoring LEA progress against implementing RTT. More importantly, though, we believe that quality implementation of RTT is best supported through peer-to-peer sharing and that the CLO meetings provide LEAs with an opportunity to gain insights on how to address specific challenges of capacity and practice.

During the 2012-2013 year, Portsmouth met the bar for participation in all four quarterly CLO meetings. All quarterly progress reports were submitted on time, and Portsmouth sent consistent participants to the quarterly meetings, representing appropriate levels of LEA leadership.

In the upcoming year, we are looking forward to continuing our meaningful conversations around the implementation accomplishments and challenges faced by each LEA, as well as discussing how LEAs are building the necessary capacity to sustain this work beyond Race to the Top. With that in mind, we would encourage you to continue sharing the tools and strategies you are using in your district and have made suggestions in this report regarding areas that we would appreciate hearing about in greater detail from you and other LEA leaders.

As we near the end of the grant, we hope that Portsmouth continues to participate at this exemplary level. We are looking forward to rich and meaningful conversation around implementation accomplishments and challenges, as well as the changes that LEAs will sustain beyond the grant period. With that in mind, we encourage you to be an active voice in shaping the focus of these meetings during Year 4.

## **System of Support 1: Standards and Curriculum**

Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Portsmouth, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district as 'on track (green),' 'delayed (yellow),' 'off track/at risk (red)', or 'not applicable (grey) on each of the Year 3 System of Support 1 scope of work tasks for Race to the Top. This assessment is reflected in the table below. Please note that further description of these statuses can be found on page one of this report.

RIDE would like to commend Portsmouth on its efforts to expand and deepen educator and administrator knowledge of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). In its progress reports, Portsmouth noted that in preparation for the 2012-2013 school year, the district conducted a two-day workshop for teachers and administrators on the development of essential standards and proficiency scales.

Portsmouth has made significant progress against implementing a guaranteed and viable curriculum aligned to the new Common Core State Standards. During the 2012-2013 school year, Portsmouth worked independently to identify essential standards and build proficiency scales in ELA and math during common planning time. Teachers continued to review the CCSS and to plan aligned units of study during grade-level or course-specific common planning time. The district also began using identified mathematics resources in grades K-5 and 8, and piloted various resources in grades 6-7. In the upcoming school year, Portsmouth plans to begin utilizing the Aspen Curriculum and Learning module to develop their ELA and math curriculum.

In the upcoming school year, we look forward to hearing about Portsmouth's progress in these areas. We encourage the district to provide greater detail in their quarterly progress reports regarding their support for educators in the district around the new standards and accompanying assessments and resources.

Intensity Consisting Alignment and Model Consisting Development				
Intensive Curriculum Alignment and Model Curriculum Development	g of CCSS (e.g. Common Planning Time, grade  x  port roll out of new curricula	Q2	Q3	Q4
Develop and communicate a multi-year Transition Plan for the Common Core State Standards implementation, including clear expectations for school level transition benchmarks and a plan for developing a curriculum aligned to the CCSS in grades K-12	х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed
Identify opportunities for educators to work collaboratively to deepen understanding of CCSS (e.g. Common Planning Time, grade level team, department meetings, faculty meetings)	х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	
Create implementation plan, including the identification of aligned resources, to support roll out of new curricula	Х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed
Develop curriculum aligned to the Common Core State Standards, including participation in Dana Center curriculum writing and leadership sessions (if applicable)	х	x	Х	х

<sup>\*</sup>Please note: the 'x' in the above table represents the anticipated completion timeline set by RIDE, not when the district completed the task. Additionally, for further clarification on the criteria used to select each status, consult the description on page one of this report.

## **System of Support 2: Instructional Improvement Systems**

During the 2012-2013 school year, Portsmouth chose to implement one of the four Race to the Top projects in System of Support 2 (Data Use professional development). Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Portsmouth, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for those applicable projects.

In their quarterly progress update reports, Portsmouth indicated that they did not have much involvement in the IMS during the 2012-2013 school year. While their IT department continued to upload student information data, they utilized their own student information system (Aspen) for curriculum mapping and RtI processes. Additionally, the district chose not to administer the Interim Assessments as they have several other new local assessments being utilized in the district. In their quarterly progress update report, Portsmouth indicated that they will engage in this initiative during the 2013-2014 school year but had not yet determined the scale of implementation.

During the 2012-2013 school year, schools from Portsmouth participated in the Data Use professional development series. In the QPU reports, the district expressed that those participating sometimes felt that the day out of school was not very helpful; however, it has contributed to a heightened awareness of data, and that teachers are now more aware of the data available and how to make decisions based on data. We look forward to hearing more from Portsmouth about the district's plans to use this professional development to expand the use of data to a broader audience.

Portsmouth is on-track to participate in the formative assessment professional development modules in the upcoming 2013-2014 school year. In their quarterly progress update report, the district indicated that they will identify teacher cohort groups who will participate in the formative assessment professional development modules, and will begin a more gradual implementation starting fall 2013.

In the upcoming CLO sessions, we look forward to hearing about the Portsmouth's plans for implementing new initiatives, or deepening engagement in initiatives implemented during the 2012-2013 school year. Additionally, looking ahead towards the PARCC assessment, we hope to hear more from districts about how these initiatives are supporting their transition to the common core.

'Data Use' Professional Development	Year 3:SY12-13					
Data ose Professional Development	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
In coordination with RIDE, select 'Data Use' training dates for each cohort of schools, as applicable				Year 2		
Identify and provide RIDE with the leadership team members from each school who will participate in Year 2 training cohorts, as applicable				Year 2		
Following 'Data Use' professional development, identify district and school practices to sustain and deepen data use and collaboration	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1		

<sup>\*</sup> Please note that, for this project, 'year 1' refers to cohort 1 taking place during the 2012-2013 school year, and 'Year 2' refers to cohort 2 taking place during the 2013-2014 school year.

Instructional Management System (IMS)				
instructional Management System (IMS)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Designate an LEA data steward to support decision making around data collections and systems implementation and to provide input and feedback on data initiatives through designated representatives	As needed	As needed	As needed	As needed
Maintain data quality standards of local student information systems and upload local assessment data and program information as required by RIDE in a timely manner	х	х	х	х
Following RIDE training, LEA Administrative Users and LEA Trainers configure the IMS for educator use and to provide end users with access and training needed to utilize the IMS for daily activities	Х	Х	х	х
Deepen the understanding and use of the IMS among all educators	Х	Х	х	х

Formative Assessment Professional Development Medules (assessed via the Instructional Management Custom)		Year 3:S	Y12-13	
Formative Assessment Professional Development Modules (accessed via the Instructional Management System)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Identify facilitators who will support the implementation of formative assessment practices in daily instruction				SY13-14
Coordinate participation of educators in training modules and communities of practice	SY12-13	SY12-13	SY12-13	SY13-14

Interim Assessments (accessed via the Instructional Management System)		Year 3:S'	Y12-13	
interini Assessments (accessed via the instructional Management System)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Develop protocols or expectations regarding the use of interim assessment to inform instruction including timelines for administration and process for scoring and reporting results	x			
Send LEA-determined facilitators to RIDE provided training on both the Fixed-Form assessment tool and the test-building tool	Fixed Form	Test Builder		
Train of educators in the LEA on the administration and use of interim assessments utilizing RIDE-trained facilitators	Х	х	Х	Х
Administration of Interim Assessments in selected grades and content area(s)	1 <sup>st</sup> Fixed Form Test	2 <sup>nd</sup> Fixed Form Test	3 <sup>rd</sup> Fixed Form Test	

## **System of Support 3: Educator Effectiveness**

During the 2012-2013 school year, Portsmouth fully implemented all components of System of Support 3 - the Rhode Island model for teacher and building administrator evaluations; and final effectiveness ratings for all teachers and building administrators have been submitted to RIDE using the Educator Performance and Support System. Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Portsmouth, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for Educator Evaluation.

To support the educator evaluation implementation process, Portsmouth provided supplemental professional development to teachers during common planning time. Additionally, the district utilized an internal administrator to complete all fine arts and ELL teacher evaluations. Portsmouth reported in their quarterly progress updates that, despite initial technical challenges, evaluators were able to utilize the Educator Performance and Support System (EPSS) to streamline the evaluation process.

During the 2012-2013 school year, Portsmouth participated in all required training activities. The administration, in partnership with their Intermediary Service Providers (ISPs) developed a protocol for administrators to view and discuss the online calibration training videos. Portsmouth also chose to complete the module two training together, and reported that this approach was successful.

As we enter into the final year of the Race to the Top grant, RIDE encourages Portsmouth to continue to engage their CLO peers in thinking about continuous support for evaluation implementation, as well as how evaluation data is being used to identify professional development needs.

Educator Evaluation		Year 3	:SY12-13	
Educator Evaluation	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Participate in educator evaluation model design, development and refinement feedback opportunities	Х	Х	X	Х
Identify District Evaluation Committee members, responsible for monitoring the implementation of the system and providing recommendations to LEA leadership teams				х
Identify individuals who will serve as primary and, if applicable, secondary/complementary evaluators				Х
Send all required evaluators to RIDE-provided evaluator training on model; Send evaluators and system administrators to training on the Educator Performance Support System (EPSS) data system			Mid-year half-day training	Mid-year half-day training
Examine LEA Policies and Contracts for Challenges; where applicable, consider memorandums of understanding or contract renewal language which will support district implementation of evaluations	x	х	х	х
Create a plan for the appropriate use of funds to support implementation of educator evaluation system				Х
Complete required components of RI Model for educator and building administrator evaluations	SLOs and Goals	Midyear Conference	Midyear	EOY Report & Summative rating
Submit evaluation data and documentation (e.g. component and summative level ratings, verified rosters); provide other requested information to support RIDE research and system improvement	х	х	Х	х
Use Evaluation Data to identify individual and school/district-wide professional development needs and act on those needs			Х	Х

## **System of Support 4: Human Capital Development**

During the 2012-2013 school year, Portsmouth participated fully in the Beginning Teacher Induction program. Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Portsmouth, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for Beginning Teacher Induction. Additionally, Portsmouth has continued their utilization of SchoolSpring for recruitment of staff on an as needed basis.

During the 2012-2013 school year, Portsmouth had seven new teachers who received support from RIDE-trained induction coaches. Additionally, RIDE was pleased to hear that the district continues to find the induction coach program to be a successful way to support their new teachers.

In the upcoming CLO sessions, RIDE looks forward to engaging in a deeper conversation around continuing to provide data-driven induction support to beginning teachers. We also hope to hear more from Portsmouth and other LEAs about the revisions that they have made to their hiring policies, timelines, and processes in order to support broader human capital initiatives including recruitment of highly qualified and diverse candidates.

Beginning Teacher Induction				
beginning reacher induction	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
If applicable, recommend potential Induction Coaches to RIDE				Х
Review and revise hiring policies, timelines and processes in order to support appropriate and timely projections for anticipated hires requiring induction coach services			х	
Provide RIDE with list of beginning teachers who will receive Induction Coach support in a timely manner in order to ensure that all beginning teachers have coaching	х			х
Participate in RIDE-provided information opportunities in order to learn about induction coach program				Х
Provide feedback to RIDE on the development and integration of existing mentorship programs into a sustainable, instructionally-focused state or district-wide Induction Coach model			Х	х

The contents of this report were developed under a Race to the Top grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

# **Appendix A: Overall District Goals and Performance Measures**

The table below contains each district's previously established performance measures. These measures provide the state and district with a baseline from which to monitor progress, be held accountable, and recognize success as we advance toward our goals. Please note: The RTT accountability goals set below are separate and performance against those goals does not have an impact on the state-wide accountability system. Additionally, since setting the goals, the US Department of Education has requested that the state revise its goals on college enrollment and college course completion. Therefore, at this time, we have not updated your progress towards these post-secondary goals. Each district has an opportunity to review their current data and revise the goals to better align with the state goals on these measures.

Portsmouth Performance Measures	2009- 2010	2010- 2011		2011- 2012		2012- 2013		2013- 2014		2014- 2015
	Actual		Actual	Goal		Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal
Students entering the fourth grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP	80%	84%	79%	88%	86%	90%	86%	93%		96%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	n/a*	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	4	4	32	3	11	3	20	2		1.5
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	58	53	55	48	59	41	n/a	34		29
Students entering the fourth grade will be proficient in mathematics on NECAP	82%	86%	82%	90%	86%	93%	85%	95%		96%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	25	23	25	20	6	18	30	16		13
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	60	55	51	50	50	44	n/a	36		30
Students entering the eighth grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP	84%	85%	90%	88%	89%	91%	87%	94%		96%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	35	32	n/a	28	n/a	23	n/a	20		17
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	19	17	12	15	26	13	34	11		9.5
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	59	54	29	49	38	42	43	35		29
Students entering the eighth grade will be proficient in mathematics on NECAP	76%	80%	84%	84%	73%	88%	80%	92%		96%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	44	40	n/a	35	n/a	29	n/a	24		17
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-	n/a	-		-
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	28	25	28	22	43	18	35	16		14
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	50	45	49	40	47	35	54	30		23.5
85% of students who first entered 9th grade 4 years prior will graduate from high school	83%	86%	n/a	89%	89%	91%	91%	93%		96%
77% of students who graduate from high school will enroll in an institution of higher education (IHE) within 16 months of receiving a diploma	83%	86%	n/a	89%	82%	91%	n/a	93%		96%
70% of high school students who enroll in an institution of higher education within 16 months of graduation will at least one year's worth of credit within two years of enrollment in the IHE	88%	90%	n/a	92%	n/a	94%	n/a	95%		96%

<sup>\*</sup>n/a indicates that the data is not available, either because the n size is below 20 or because there are no students in that category. Additionally, a hyphen ( - ) indicates that the LEA did not set a goal for the applicable performance measure.